# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides that each state and territory \*must assess the operation of its state child health plan in each federal fiscal year and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the state must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The state is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The state is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the state's program is incomplete.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of state approaches to CHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTs) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments
- \* When "state" is referenced throughout this template, it is defined as either a state or a territory.

\*Disclosure. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

# DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territory:			
, <u>—</u>	(Name of State/Territory)		
The following Annual 2108(a) and Section		iance with Title XXI o	f the Social Security Act (Section
Signature:			
CHIP Program Name	e(s):		
CHIP Program Type:	CHIP Medicaid Expa	th Program Only	
Departing Deriods			ar 2016 starts 10/1/2015 and ends
Reporting Period: _		9/30/2016.	
Contact Person/Title:			
Address:			
City:	State:		Zip:
Phone:		_ Fax:	
Email:			
Submission Date:			

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

# **SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF CHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES**

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program, please provide the following information. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

□ Provide an assurance that your state's CHIP program eligibility criteria as set forth in the CHIP state plan in section 4, inclusive of PDF pages related to Modified Adjusted Gross Income eligibility, is accurate as of the date of this report.

Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., **[500]** are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	CHIP	Medicaid Ex	pansion Pr	ogram	Separate Child Health Program			
	* Uppe	er % of FPL (	federal pov	/erty level) f	ields are de	efined as <u>Up</u>	to and Inc	uding
		No				No		
		Yes				Yes		
		ment fee				nent fee		
		nount m amount				ount n amount		
	Fieliliui	II amount			FIEIIIIIII	II dinount		
	If premiums	are tiered by	FPL, please b	oreakout by	If premiums FPL	are tiered by	FPL, please l	oreakout by
	Premium Amount				Premium Amount			
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$0	\$ 0	% of FPL 133	% of FPL 208
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$32	\$ 67	% of FPL 208	% of FPL 262
Does your program	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$45	\$ 94	% of FPL 262	% of FPL 288
require premiums or an enrollment fee?	\$	\$	% of FP L	% of FPL	\$51	\$ 107	% of FPL 288	% of FPL 314
	If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL			If premiums FPL	are tiered by	FPL, please l	oreakout by	
	Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per family		\$		Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per family		\$	
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below [500]			If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate) [500]				

		□ N/A		N/A					
		☐ Managed Care		Managed	Care				$\neg$
		Primary Care Case Management		Primary C		se M	anad	ement	$\dashv$
	ch delivery system(s)	Fee for Service		Fee for Se					
does	s your program use?	Please describe which groups receive which delivery system [500]		e describe v ry system [	_	group	s rec	eive which	1
	2) Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking the appropriate column. For FFY 2016, please include only the program changes that are in addition to and/or beyond those required by the Affordable Care Act. For each topic you responded "yes" to below, please explain the change and why the change								
	was made.		Ex	Medicaid pansion C Program	HIP		С	Separate hild Healt Program	th
			Yes	No Change	N/A		Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrolled Fair Hearing Process	e protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid to State Law)						$\boxtimes$	
b)	Application							$\boxtimes$	
c)	Benefits							$\boxtimes$	
d)	Cost sharing (including	ng amounts, populations, & collection process)						$\boxtimes$	
e)	Crowd out policies							$\boxtimes$	
f)	Delivery system							$\boxtimes$	
g)	Eligibility determination	on process						$\boxtimes$	
h)	Implementing an enro	ollment freeze and/or cap						$\boxtimes$	
i)	Eligibility levels / targe	et population						$\boxtimes$	
j)	Eligibility redetermina	tion process						$\boxtimes$	
k)	Enrollment process for	or health plan selection					$\boxtimes$		
l)	Outreach (e.g., decre	ase funds, target outreach)						$\boxtimes$	
m)	Premium assistance						П	$\boxtimes$	

Final Rule)

n) Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002

 $\boxtimes$ 

	o)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children				$\boxtimes$	
	p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women					
	q)	Pregnant Women state plan expansion					$\boxtimes$
	r)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, cases of fraud and abuse	and referral of			$\boxtimes$	
	s)	Other – please specify					
a)							
b)							
c)							
_	а	) Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)					
_	b	) Application					
_	С	) Benefits					
	d	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)					
	е	) Crowd out policies					
_	f)	Delivery system					
	g	) Eligibility determination process					
	h	) Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap					
_	i)	Eligibility levels / target population					
_	j)	Eligibility redetermination process					
	1/						

k)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	When using COMPASS, individuals are able to choose any provider (previously could choose between one of the low cost insurance contractors).
		<u> </u>
l)	Outreach	
m)	Premium assistance	
n)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)	
0)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
0)	Expansion to Lawruny Residing Children	
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
q)	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
4)	regnant vomen date i lan Expansion	
		<u> </u>
r)	Methods and procedures for prevention,	
	investigation, and referral of cases of fraud	
	and abuse	
s)	Other – please specify	
	a.	
	u.	
	b.	
	C.	

Enter any Narrative text related to Section I below. [7500]

# SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of two subsections that gather information about the CHIP and/or Medicaid program. Section IIA captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your state. Section IIB captures progress towards meeting your state's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

### SECTION IIA: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your state for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your state's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2015	FFY 2016	Percent change FFY 2015-2016
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	64638	103951	60.82
Separate Child Health Program	229704	238317	3.75

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent. [7500]

Enrollment decreases were a direct result of Affordable Care Act rules that changed family composition. As a result, children whose family income range between 100% and 133% who were previously eligible for CHIP now fall under Medical Assistance. Also, the Affordable Care Act changed the household composition so that some children were transitioned to Medical Assistance based on individual's whose income was no longer calculated in their household.

2. The tables below show trends in the number and rate of uninsured children in your state. Three year averages in Table 1 are based on the Current Population Survey. The single year estimates in Table 2 are based on the American Community Survey (ACS). CARTS will fill in this information automatically, and significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3.

Table 1: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, Current Population Survey

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19
Uninsured Children Under Age 19	Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a
Below 200 Percent of Poverty	Percent of Total Children Under Age 19

Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	157	25.1	5.1	.8
1998 - 2000	115	21.5	3.7	.7
2000 - 2002	162	21.2	5.5	.7
2002 - 2004	195	23.3	6.5	.8
2003 - 2005	175	22.9	5.9	.7
2004 - 2006	155	22.0	5.3	.7
2005 - 2007	145	21.0	5.0	.7
2006 - 2008	127	20.0	4.4	.7
2007 - 2009	131	20.0	4.5	.7
2008 - 2010	128	17.0	4.4	.6
2009-2011	142	21.0	4.8	.7
2010-2012	143	22.0	5.0	0

Table 2: Number and percent of uninsured children under age 19 below 200 percent of poverty, American Community Survey

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19		
Period	Number (In Thousands)	Margin of Error	Rate	Margin of Error	
2013	92	7.0	3.3	.2	
2014	86	6.0	3.1	.2	
2015	66	6.0	2.4	.2	
Percent change 2014 vs. 2015	0%	NA	0%	NA	

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

		on of these estimates. [7500]						
	Please indicate by and/or methodolog	checking the box below whether your state has an alternate data source y for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.						
	Yes (please repo	t your data in the table below)						
	No (skip the rest	of the question)						
	time to demonstrate ch	rnate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in ange (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.						
	Data source(s)							
	Reporting period (2 or more points in time)							
	Methodology							
	Population (Please include ag	es						
	and income levels)							
	Sample sizes							
	Number and/or rate for two or more points in time							
	Statistical significance of resu	ts						
	A. Please explain wh	your state chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in rate of uninsured children. [7500]						
	B. What is your state's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.) [7500]							
C. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? [7500]								
	D. How does your state use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning? [7500]							
	Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIA below. [7500]							

#### SECTION IIB: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your state's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP state plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your state with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- CHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2014 and FFY 2015) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you reported data in the two previous years' reports and you want to update/change the data, please enter that data. If you reported no data for either of those two years, but you now have data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2016).

In this section, the term performance measure is used to refer to any data your state provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are state-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported for Child Core Set reporting. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your state did not report elsewhere. As a reminder, Child Core Set reporting migrated to MACPRO in December 2015. Historical data are still available for viewing in CARTS.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. **All new goals should include a direction and a target.** For clarification only, an <u>example goal would be</u>: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

#### Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why
the goal was revised.

- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2016.
  - **Explanation of Provisional Data** When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the state must specify why the data are provisional and when the state expects the data will be final.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2016.
- <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your state reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

### **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which states may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

### **HEDIS® Version:**

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2015). This field must be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

### **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure.

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

- Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.
- If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, denominator includes only children enrolled in managed care in certain counties, technological limitations preventing reporting on the full population defined, etc.). Please report information on exclusions in the definition of the denominator (including the proportion of children excluded), The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators across the states and over time can occur.

### **Deviations from Measure Specification**

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that states must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected..

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

- Year of Data (e.g., partial year),
- Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),
- Numerator (e.g., coding issues),
- Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment),
- Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

#### Date Range: available for 2016 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to

facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator). The reporting unit for each measure is the state as a whole. If states calculate rates for multiple reporting units (e.g., individual health plans, different health care delivery systems), States must aggregate data from all these sources into one State rate before reporting the data to CMS. In the situation where a state combines data across multiple reporting units, all or some of which use the hybrid method to calculate the rates, the state should enter zeroes in the "Numerator" and "Denominator" fields. In these cases, it should report the state-level rate in the "Rate" field and, when possible, include individual reporting unit numerators, denominators, and rates in the field labeled "Additional Notes on Measure," along with a description of the method used to derive the state-level rate.

### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your state to highlight progress and describe any quality-improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality-improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality-improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality-improvement plans. In this section, your state is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2017, 2018 and 2019. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2014 through 2016), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your state set for the year, as well as any quality-improvement activities that have helped or could help your state meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase the combined enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid	Increase the combined enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid	Increase the combined enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid
relative to the base month, May 1998, by 2 percentage points	relative to the base month, May 1998 by 2 percentage points	relative to the base month, May 1998 by 2 percentage points
per year.	per year.	per year.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in CHIP and	Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in CHIP and	Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in CHIP and
Medicaid from the month that the CHIP state plan was first	Medicaid from the month that the CHIP state plan was first	Medicaid from the month that the CHIP state plan was first
approved.	approved.	approved.
Definition of numerator: Children enrolled in CHIP and	Definition of numerator: Children enrolled in CHIP and	Definition of numerator: Children enrolled in CHIP and
Medicaid combined in September 2014.	Medicaid combined in September 2014	Medicaid combined in September 2016
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015	From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2016
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid from the month that the	Enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid from the month that the	Enrollment in CHIP and Medicaid from the month that the
CHIP state plan was first approved.	CHIP state plan was first approved.	CHIP state plan was first approved.
Numerator: 529748	Numerator: 580850	Numerator: 618854
Denominator: 757391	Denominator: 757391	Denominator: 757391
Rate: 69.9	Rate: 76.7	Rate: 81.7
Additional notes on measure: Since approval of the	Additional notes on measure: Since approval of the PA	Additional notes/comments on measure: Since approval of
Pennsylvania State Plan for CHIP in May 1998, the number	State Plan for CHIP in May 1998, the number of children	the PA State Plan for CHIP in May 1998, the number of
of children enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid increased by	enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid increased by 66% at the	children enrolled in CHIP and Medicaid increased by 66% at
70% at the end of FFY 2014.	end of FFY 2015	the end of FFY 2016

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? The performance objective for FFY 2014 was 68% and the actual measure for 2014 was 69.9%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? The performance objective for FFY 2015 was 70% and the actual measure for 2015 was 77%  What quality improvement activities that involve	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? The performance objective for FFY 2016 was 72% and the actual measure for 2016 was 82%  What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP program office to improve the accuracy of elibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled in the program.	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP program office to improve the accuracy of eligibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled in the program	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP program office to improve the accuracy of eligibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled in the program.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 70% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 72%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 72% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 74%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 74% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: 76%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 74%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: 76%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: 78%
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends were used as a basis for the projection of enrollment changes.	Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends were used as a basis for the projection of enrollment changes.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:  Data Source:	Data Source:	reported:  Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	Summer Specify:	Surviv Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIA, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
reported:  Data Source:	reported:  Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. Specify.	Guier. specify.	Guier. speety.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
The first of		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #1 (Describe) Increase CHIP enrollment in rural counties by 5 percentage points per year over the base month of May 1998 for each of the next three years.  Type of Goal:	Goal #1 (Describe) Increase CHIP enrollment in rural counties by 5 percentage points per year over the base month of May 1998 for each of the next three years.  Type of Goal:	Goal #1 (Describe) Increase CHIP enrollment in rural counties by 5 percentage points per year over the base month of May 1998 for each of the next three years.  Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	<ul><li>New/revised. Explain:</li><li>Continuing.</li><li>Discontinued. Explain:</li></ul>	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :  ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:  □ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data: □ Final. □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source: □ Eligibility/Enrollment data. □ Survey data. Specify: □ Other. Specify: □ Other. Specify: Enrollment in the 19 rural counties in northeastern and central Pennsylvania (Bedford, Clinton, Columbia, Juniata, Lebanon, Mifflin, Monroe, Montour, Northumberland, Perry, Pike, Schuylkill, Snyder, Sullivan, Susquehanna, Tioga, Union, Wayne, Wyoming)	Status of Data Reported:  □ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  □ Final.  □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source:  □ Eligibility/Enrollment data.  □ Survey data. Specify:  □ Other. Specify:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in May 1998	Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in May 1998	Definition of denominator: Children enrolled in May 1998
Definition of numerator: (9/14 Enrollment - 5/98 Enrollment)	Definition of numerator: 09/15 Enrollment - 05/98 Enrollment	Definition of numerator: 09/16 Enrollment - 05/1998
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2014  Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Percent increase in enrollment in the designated counties since May 1998, when Pennsylvania's initial state plan was approved.  Numerator: 10980 Denominator: 4217 Rate: 260.4	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015  Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Percent increase in enrollment in the designated counties since May 1998, when Pennsylvania's initial state plan was approved.  Numerator: 15721 Denominator: 4217 Rate: 372.8	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 05/1998 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2016  Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured: Percent increase in enrollment in the designated counties since May 1998, when Pennsylvania's initial state plan was approved.  Numerator: 15567 Denominator: 4217 Rate: 369.1

	T	T
FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Additional notes on measure: Since May 1998, enrollment	Additional notes on measure: Since May 1998, enrollment	Additional notes/comments on measure: Since May 1998,
in the target counties increased by 260.4%. This increase	in the target counties increased by 372.8%. This increase	enrollment in the target counties increased by 369.1%. This
exceeds the statewide growth of 179% (56,548 to 157,895)	exceeds the statewide growth of 163.6% (56,548 to 149,081)	increase exceeds the statewide growth of 292.5% (56,548 to
during the same period.	during the same period.	165,418) during the same period.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? The rate was less than the performance objective of 354% in the 2013 Annual Report.	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? The rate was more than the performance objective of 260.4% in the Annual Report.	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? The rate was less than the performance objective of 372.8% in the Annual Report.
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP progam office to improve the accuracy of elibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled in the program. Further, outreach activities have been limited because of budgetary constraints. These factors have likely contributed to a decline in CHIP enrollment.	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP program office to improve the accuracy of eligibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled. Further outreach activities have been limited because of budgetary constraints. These factors have likely contributed to a total decline in CHIP enrollment.	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Efforts have been made by the CHIP program office to improve the accuracy of eligibility determinations and ensure that only eligible applicants are enrolled. Further outreach activities have been limited because of budgetary constraints. These factors have likely contributed to a total decline in CHIP enrollment.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 359%	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:</b> 372%	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:</b> 373%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 368%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 373%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: 378%
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 377%</b>	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:</b> 378%	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:</b> 397%
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends were used as a basis for the projection of increased enrollment in the rural counties.	Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends were used as a basis for the projection of increased enrollment in the rural counties.

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Adolescent Well-Care Visit	Other Comments on Measure: Other Comments on
	(All Contractors are an "H" except FPH is "A")	Measure: Adolescent Well-Care Visit (All Contractors are an
	Aetna CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP	"H" except FPH is "A")
	KHPE UHCP UPMC	Ae CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP
	Eligible 3,258 6,073 3,021 2,262 6,953 3,808 1,125	KHPE UHC UPMC
	8,869 6,907 6,121	Elig 2529 5057 2173 2379 4792 2931 1390 7252
	Denominator 432 398 3,021 395 376 384 432 403	7362 6776
	407 398	Denom 432 388 2173 380 4792 2931 383 414
	Numerator 280 249 1,829 255 244 255 303 263	384 395
	260 242	Num 275 263 1368 243 3075 1886 256 292 241
	Rate (%) 64.81% 62.56% 60.54% 64.56% 64.89% 66.41%	276
	70.14% 65.26% 63.88% 60.80% Weighted Average 63.96%	Rate (%) 63.7 67.8 63.0 63.9 64.3 64.2 66.8 70.5 62.8
		69.9
		wt. ave 66.3%

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Maintain the proportion of CHIP enrollees to be reflective of	Maintain the proportion of CHIP enrollees to be reflective of	Maintain the proportion of CHIP enrollees to be reflective of
the general population of Pennsylvania.	the general population of Pennsylvania.	the general population of Pennsylvania.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
∏ Final.	⊠ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:		Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :  ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
US Census Bureau	Enrollment in the 19 rural counties in northeastern and central	Enrollment in the 19 rural counties in northeastern and central
US Census Bureau	Pennsylvania (Bedford, Clinton, Columbia, Juniata,	Pennsylvania (Bedford, Clinton, Columbia, Juniata,
	Lebanon, Mifflin, Monroe, Montour, Northumberland, Perry,	Lebanon, Mifflin, Monroe, Montour, Northumberland, Perry,
	Pike, Schuylkill, Snyder, Sullivan, Susquehanna, Tioga,	Pike, Schuylkill, Snyder, Sullivan, Susquehanna, Tioga,
	Union, Wayne, Wyoming)	Union, Wayne, Wyoming)
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: None	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: None
Definition of numerator: None	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: None
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2015	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2015 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2016
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Compare the proportion of CHIP enrollees that fall into	Compare the proportion of CHIP enrollees that fall into	Compare the proportion of CHIP enrollees that fall into
various race and ethnic categories to U.S. Census Bureau data	various race and ethnic categories to U.S. Census Bureau data	various race and ethnic categories to U.S. Census Bureau data
for the general population in Pennsylvania.	for the general population in Pennsylvania.	for the general population of Pennsylvania.
Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0
Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Additional notes on measure: Race Native?Hawaiian 0.1 0.05 or Other Pacific Islander ? American Indian or 0.3 0.8 Alaska Native?  Asian 3.1 3.8 ? Black or African 11.5 14.0 American?  White 83.2 65.0 ? Two or more races 1.8 1.1 ? Unspecified race 0 15.9  Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino 6.3 10.9  Unspecified 78.4 89.1	Additional notes on measure: Available Data Race/Ethnicity PA CHIP Native Hawaian/Pacific Islander 0.00% 0.05% Native American/Alaskan Native 0.10% 0.01% Asian 2.80% 4.09% Black or African American 10.50% 14.20% White 1.50% 1.10% Two or More Races 5.90% 11.20% Unspecified Race 0.00% 16.40%  Hispanic or Latino 5.90% 11.20% Unspecified Ethnicity 94.10% 88.80%	Additional notes/comments on measure: US Census Bureau PA CHIP  Hispanic/Latino 6.1% 12.3% Not Hispanic/Latino 93.9% 87.7% White alone 78.5% 63.2% Black or African American 10.5% 14.8% American Indian/Alaska native 0.1% 0.01% Asian alone 2.9% 4.14% Native Hawaiian & other 0.0% 0.05% Two or more races 1.6% 1.1%
ethnicity?  Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? CHIP enrollment continued to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Accurate and timely processing of CHIP applications by contractors and our Central Eligibility Unit (CEU).	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? CHIP enrollment continues to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Accurate and timely processing of CHIP applications by contractors and our Central Eligibility Unit (CEU).	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? CHIP enrollment continues to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Accurate and timely processing of CHIP applications by contractors and our Central Eligibility Unit (CEU).

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: For CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: For CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: For CHIP enrollment to continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: For CHIP enrollment to continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: For CHIP enrollment continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: For CHIP enrollment to continue to reflect the general population in Pennsylvania.  Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends.
Other Comments on Measure:	Explain how these objectives were set: Historical trends Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:
Omer Comments on Measure.	Onici Comments on Measure.	Onici Commento di Micabart.

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Explanation of Progress:	<b>Explanation of Progress:</b>	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Lead Screening - Increase by 5 percent per year the	Lead Screening - Increase by 5 percent per year the	Lead screening - Increase by 5 percent per year the percentage
percentage of PA CHIP two year old members who	percentage of PA CHIP two year old members who	of PA CHIP two year old members who underwent lead
underwent lead screening prior to their second birthday.	underwent lead screening prior to their second birthday.	screening prior to their second birthday.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	□ Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2015	$\square$ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2016
☑Other. Explain: HEDIS 2014	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Combination of hybrid data (10 health plans).		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or
more capillary or venous lead blood tests for lead poisoning	more capillary or venous lead blood tests for lead poisoning	more capillary or venous lead blood tests for lead poisoning
prior to their second birthday.	prior to their second birthday.	prior to their second birthday.
Definition of denominator: Number of members who turned	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
two years of age during the measurement year (Eligible	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
population 2,145).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of denominator:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	number of children excluded: Number of members two years	number of children excluded: Number of members who
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	of age through 19 years of age who were diagnosed with	turned two years of age during the measurement year
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	asthma during the measurement year.	(Eligible population 1,967).
number of children excluded: Definition of denominator:		
Number of members who turned two years of age during the		
measurement year (Eligible population 2,145).	Data Barrer	Data Barrer
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2015 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2015

FY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0
Numerator: Denominator:	Denominator: 0	Numerator: 0 Denominator: 0
1		
Rate:	Rate: 52.90	Rate: 55.10
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: The state weighted average is based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit.	Additional note/commentss on measure: The state weighted average is based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? The HEDIS 2014 PA CHIP Lead	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? The HEDIS 2015 PA CHIP Lead Screening rate of 52.9 was 2.1 percentage points below the 2015 performance objective of 55%.	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? The HEDIS 2016 PA CHIP Lead Screening rate of 55.1 was 0.7 percentage points below the 2016 performance objective of 55.5%.

Screening rate of 49.9% was 5.1 percentage points below the 2014 performance objective of 55%.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? After analyzing the available data, it became evident that PA CHIP members were often not receiving the required lead screening because PCPs could not identify potentially high risk PA CHIP members within their patient population. PA CHIP is currently undertaking an initiative that will enable providers to identify CHIP members. Along with this effort, the CHIP health insurance companies are providing additional education explaining the need for this group of children to receive lead screening. CHIP health insurance companies are engaging in a number of interventions to try to increase the number of members being screened, including providing rosters of members that should be screened to their PCPs, offering pay-forperformance incentives, and expanding reimbursement to include point of care lead screening testing. In 2014 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2017.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 55% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 60% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 65%

Explain how these objectives were set: Each CHIP health insurance contractor has been tasked to increase their percentage of eligible members who receive lead screening by a minimum of 5% each year for the next three years.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? After analyzing the available data, it became evident that PA CHIP members were often not receiving the required lead screening because PCPs could not identify potentially high risk PA CHIP members within their patient population. PA CHIP is currently undertaking an initiative that will enable providers to identify CHIP members. Along with this effort, the CHIP health insurance companies are providing additional education explaining the need for this group of children to receive lead screening. CHIP health insurance companies are engaging in a number of interventions to try to increase the number of members being screened, including providing rosters of members that should be screened to their PCPs, offering pay-forperformance incentives, and expanding reimbursement to include point of care lead screening testing. In 2015 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2018.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:** 55.5%

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:** 58 3%

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:** 61.2%

Explain how these objectives were set: Each CHIP health insurance contractor has been tasked to increase their percentage of eligible members who receive lead screening by a minimum of 5% each year for the next three years.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? After analyzing the available data, it became evident that PA CHIP members were often not receiving the required lead screening because PCPs could not identify potentially high risk PA CHIP members within their patient population. PA CHIP is currently undertaking an initiative that will enable providers to identify CHIP members. Along with this effort, the CHIP health insurance companies are providing additional education explaining the need for this group of children to receive lead screening. CHIP health insurance companies are engaging in a number of interventions to try to increase the number of members being screened, including providing rosters of members that should be screened to their PCPs, offering pay-forperformance incentives, and expanding reimbursement to include point of care lead screening testing. In 2016 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2019.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:** 58.28%

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:** 61 22%

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:** 64.26%

Explain how these objectives were set: Each CHIP health insurance contractor has been tasked to increase their percentage of 5% each year for the next three years.

FY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Other Comments on Measure: Lead Screening in Children	Other Comments on Measure: AETNA CBC FPH	Other Comments on Measure: Ae IBC NEPA HPHP
	GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP KHPE UHCP UPMC	UPMC GHP CBC HPPO HHMO UHC PA Wtd Avge
	E-Pop 213 261 66 197 123 153 49 262 457	Elig-pop: 137 195 51 69 424 224 191 104 94 478
Ae CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP KHPE	369	Denom: 137 194 51 69 411 223 191 104 94 384
UHCP UPMC PA W Avg	Denom 212 260 66 197 123 153 49 261 411	Num: 101 104 23 45 246 161 46 35 45 216
E-Pop 280 286 80 130 205 182 39 284 360	369	Rate % 73.7 53.6 45.1 65.2 59.9 72.2 24.1 33.7 47.9 56.3
299	Num 141 69 21 130 57 43 25 143 259 218	55.1%
Denom 279 285 80 130 204 182 39 284 .	Rate % 66.51 26.54 31.82 65.99 46.34 28.10 51.02 57.79	
299	63.02 59.08	
Num 134 112 38 57 98 66 24 150 224 166	Pa CHIP Weighted Average 52.86%	
Rate % 48.03 39.30 47.50 43.85 48.04 36.26 61.54 52.82		
62.22 55.52 49.90%		

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Asthma Emergency Encounter Rate: Decrease by 1.5% per	Asthma Emergency Encounter Rate: Decrease by 1.5	Asthma Emergency Encounter Rate: Decrease by 1.5
year the percentage of children and adolescents, two years of	percentage points per year the percentage of children and	percentage points per year the percentage of children and
age through 19 years of age, with an asthma diagnosis who	adolescents, two years of age through 19 years of age, with	adolescents, two years of age through 19 years of age, with
have =1 emergency department (ED) visit during 2013.	an asthma diagnosis who have =1 emergency department	an asthma diagnosis who have =1 emergency department
	(ED) visit during 2014.	(ED) visit during 2015.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Continued collecting the retired CHIPRA Asthma ED measure	C	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☑ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> : the percentage of children and adolescents,	$\boxtimes$ Other. Explain: the percentage of children and	$\boxtimes$ Other. Explain: The percentage of children and
two years of age through 19 years of age, with an asthma	adolescents, two years of age through 19 years of age, with	adolescents, two years of age through 19 years of age, with
diagnosis who have =1 emergency department (ED) visit	an asthma diagnosis who have =1 emergency department	an asthma diagnosis who have =1 emergency department
during 2013	(ED) visit during 2014	(ED) visit during 2015
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Delia en la Tillia I	Deve en la Tillia	D. 61.4
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or more visits to the emergency department for Asthma during the	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or more visits to the emergency department for Asthma	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who had one or more visits to the emergency department for Asthma during
measurement year	during the measurement year	the measurement year
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded: Number of members two years	number of children excluded: Number of members two	number of children excluded: Number of members two years
of age through 19 years of age who were diagnosed with	years of age through 19 years of age who were diagnosed	of age through 19 years of age who were diagnosed with
asthma during the measurement year	with asthma during the measurement year	asthma during the measurement year
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2015 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2015

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
Teal of Bata, Explain.	Teal of Data, Expiain.	Teal of Bata, Explain.
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
Butte Source, Expirum.	Dun Bource, Explain.	Duta Boarce, Espirant.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, Explain.	$\square$ Other, Explain.	$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
Other Terrormance Measurement Data.	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 0	Numerator: 1146	Numerator: 782
Denominator: 0	Denominator: 12047	Denominator: 9529
Rate: 7.81	Rate: 9.5	Rate: 8.2
1440. 7.01	Kuto. 7.5	1 Kuto. 0.2
Additional notes on measure: Weighted Average calculated	Additional notes on measure: Weighted Average calculated	Additional notes on measure: Weighted Average calculated
based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each	based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each	based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each
reporting unit	reporting unit	reporting unit.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
		•
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the	How did your performance in 2015 compare with	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
<b>2013 Annual Report?</b> Measure not reported in the 2013	your 2014 Annual Report? The 2015 Asthma	2015 Annual Report? The 2016 Asthma Emergency
Annual Report.	Emergency Department encounter rate of 9.5% was 2.7	Department encounter rate of 8.2% was 2.5 percentage
	percentage points above the 2014 performance	points above the 2015 performance objective of 8.0%.
	objective of 6.8%.	

FFY 2014	EEV 2015	FFY 2016
What quality improvement activities that involve the	FFY 2015 What quality improvement activities that involve	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal? CHIP health insurance	progress toward your goal? CHIP health insurance	progress toward your goal? CHIP health insurance
contractors have been encouraged to provide disease	contractors have been encouraged to provide disease	contractors have been encouraged to provide disease
management programs that are not only tailored for the	management programs that are not only tailored for the	management programs that are not only tailored for the
individual member, but incorporate family education and	individual member, but incorporate family education	individual member, but incorporate family education
support needs as well. The use of peak flow meters for	and support needs as well. The use of peak flow meters	and support needs as well. The use of peak flow meters
high risk patients that relay information to case managers	for high risk patients that relay information to case	for high risk patients that relay information to case
who can then hopefully assist with care coordination early	managers who can then hopefully assist with care	managers who can then hopefully assist with care
enough to prevent an emergency department visit or	coordination early enough to prevent an emergency	coordination early enough to prevent an emergency
inpatient admission has been recommended to the CHIP	department visit or inpatient admission has been	department visit or inpatient admission has been
health insurers, but is too costly for the State to fund at	recommended to the CHIP health insurers, but is too	recommended to the CHIP health insurers, but is too
this time. In 2012 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to include objectives for 2015 -	costly for the State to fund at this time. In 2012 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to	costly for the State to fund at this time. In 2016 the performance objectives were reviewed and extended to
2017.	include an objective for 2018.	include an objective for 2019.
2017.	include an objective for 2016.	metade an objective for 2017.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	in improving the completeness or accuracy of	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	your reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:</b> 6.3%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 4.8%	8.0%	7.88%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 3.3%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 6.5%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: 6.40%
Explain how these objectives were set: It is expected that	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
a decrease in ED utilization by 1.55 per year may be	5.0%	4.92%
feasable over the next three years with improvements in disease management and care coordination that CHIP		
insurers are anticipating undertaking.	Explain how these objectives were set: It is expected that a decrease in ED utilization by 1.55 per year may be	Explain how these objectives were set: It is expected that a decrease in ED utilization by 1.55 per year may be
msurers are anticipating undertaking.	feasable over the next three years with improvements in	feasible over the next three years with improvement in
	disease management and care coordination that CHIP	disease management and care coordination that CHIP
	insurers are anticipating undertaking.	insurers are anticipating undertaking.
Other Comments on Measure: Ae CBC FPH GHP	Other Comments on Measure: AETNA CBC FPH	Other Comments on Measure: Ae CBC NEPA GHP
HBCBS HBS HPH KHPE UHCP UPMC PA Wtd Avge	GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP KHPE UHCP UPMC	HHMO HPPO HPHP IBC UHC UPMC PA Wtd Ave
E-Pop	E-Pop 835 1,307 532 695 1,012 941 630 2,585	Elig-pop: 716 1170 307 698 692 795 816 919 2467 949
Denom 1288 1686 735 710 1487 1265 507	2,363 1,147	Den: 716 1170 307 698 692 795 816 919 2467 949
3064 2282 991	Denom 835 1,307 532 695 1,012 941 630 2,585	Num: 61 39 13 35 40 31 97 103 230 133
Num 93 84 27 46 94 69 68 275 192	2,363 1,147	Rate% 8.5 3.3 4.2 5.0 5.8 3.9 11.9 11.2 9.3 14.0
147 Rate % 7.22 4.98 3.67 6.48 6.32 5.45 13.41	Num 83 75 21 54 67 49 96 271 250	8.2%
8.98 8.41 14.83 7.81%	Rate % 9.94 5.74 3.95 7.77 6.62 5.21 15.24 10.48	
0.20 0.71 17.03 7.01/0	10.58	
	Pa CHIP Weighted Average 9.51%	
		•

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase frequency of Adolescent Well-Care visits by 3.8	Increase frequency of Adolescent Well-Care visits by 3.8	Increase frequency of Adolescent Well-Care visits by 3.8
percentage points per year for the next three years; monitor	percentage points per year for the next three years; monitor	percentage points per year for the next three years; monitor
for trends and outliers.	for trends and outliers.	for trends and outliers.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2014	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2015	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2016
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :  Combination of administrative data (2 health plans) and	Other. Specify: Combination of administrative data (1 health plans) and	Other. Specify: Combination of administrative data (1 health plans) and
hybrid data (8 health plans)	hybrid data (9 health plans)	hybrid data (9 health plans)
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Eligible population with at least 1	Definition of ropulation included in the Measure.  Definition of numerator: Eligible population with at least 1	Definition of ropulation included in the Measure.  Definition of numerator: Eligible population with at least 1
comprehensive well-care visit with PCP or OB/GYN within	comprehensive well-care visit with PCP or OB/GYN within	comprehensive well-care visit with PCP or OB/GYN within
measurement year.	measurement year.	measurement year.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded: Members 12-19 years of age	number of children excluded: Members 12-19 years of age	number of children excluded: Members 12-19 years of age
during the measurement year (eligible population 59,618)	during the measurement year (eligible population 44,408)	during the measurement year (eligible population 42,641)
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2015 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0
Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Rate: 61.9	Rate: 64.0	Rate: 66.34
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	□ Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator,. Explain.
Trumerator, Expani.	Trumerator, Explain.	Tuniciuoi, Expiani.
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: The state weighted average is	Additional notes on measure: The state weighted average is	Additional notes/comments on measure:
based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each	based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each	Additional notes/comments on measure.
reporting unit	reporting unit	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2013 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2014 rate	2014 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2015 rate	2015 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2016 rate
of 61.9% was 2.6 percentage points below the 2014	of 64.0 was 1.0 percentage point below the 2015	of 66.34 was 2.2 percentage point above the 2016
performance benchmark of 64.5%.	performance benchmark of 65.0%	performance benchmark of 65.0%
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews	progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews	progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews
specifically addressed this area as one of PA CHIP's	specifically addressed this area as one of PA CHIP's	specifically addressed this area as one of PA CHIP's
priorities. Contractor meetings where best practices are	priorities. Contractor meetings where best practices are	priorities. Contractor meetings where best practices are
shared and encouragement of health insurers to try	shared and encouragement of health insurers to try	shared and encouragement of health insurers to try
innovative outreach programs such as sponsoring a	innovative outreach programs such as sponsoring a	innovative outreach programs such as sponsoring a
dance for this population, social networking and various	dance for this population, social networking and various	dance for this population, social networking and various
member recognitions have been key to PA CHIP's success with this measure. In 2014 the performance	member recognitions have been key to PA CHIP's	member recognitions have been key to PA CHIP's
success with this measure. In 2014 the performance	success with this measure. In 2015 the performance	success with this measure. In 2016 the performance

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2017.	objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2018.	objectives were reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2019.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 65.0 Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 68.1 Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 71.2	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 67.8% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 70.38% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Explain how these objectives were set: The rate of this measure decreased by 3.1 percentage points from HEDIS 2012 to HEDIS 2013, therefore the goal was set	71.6% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018: 75.4%	74.32% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019: 78.27%
to increase the rate by 3.1 percentage points each year over the next three years in order to reverse this observed decrease.	Explain how these objectives were set: The rate of this measure decreased by 3.1 percentage points from HEDIS 2012 to HEDIS 2013, therefore the goal was set to increase the rate by 3.8 percentage points each year over the next three years in order to reverse this observed decrease.	Explain how these objectives were set: The rate of this measure decreased by 3.1 percentage points from HEDIS 2012 to HEDIS 2013, therefore the goal was set to increase the rate by 3.8 percentage points each year over the next three years in order to reverse this observed decrease.
Other Comments on Measure: H H	Other Comments on Measure: Adolescent Well-Care Visit	Other Comments on Measure: Ae IBC NEPA
A H H H H H	(all Contractors are "H", except FPH is "A")	HPHP UPMC GHP CBC HPPO HHMO UHC PA Wtd
AH	Aetna CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP	Avge
A H Aet CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS	KHPE UHCP UPMC	Avge   Elig-Pop: 2529 7252 2173 1390 6776 2379 5057 2931 4792
HPHP KHPE UHCP UPMC	Eligible 3,258 6,073 3,021 2,262 6,953 3,808 1,125	7362
E-Pop 5159 7453 4078 2073 9933 4850 1011 11029 7967 6065	8,869 6,907 6,121 Denominator 432 398 3,021 395 376 384 432 403	Denom: 432 414 2173 383 395 380 388 2931 4792 384
Denom 432 401 . 398 395 401 384 390 . 401	407   398   Numerator   280   249   1.829   255   244   255   303   263	Num: 275 292 1368 256 276 243 263 1886 3075 241
Num 259 237 2433 240 255 253 263	260 242	Rate% 63.7 70.5 63.0 66.8 69.9 63.9 67.8 64.3 64.2 62.8
264 4520 238	Rate (%) 64.81% 62.56% 60.54% 64.56% 64.89% 66.41%	66.34%
Rate(%)59.95 59.10 59.66 60.30 64.56 63.09 68.49 67.69 56.73 59.35 PA CHIP Wtd Avg 61.95	70.14% 65.26% 63.88% 60.80% Weighted Average 63.96%	

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Increase the percentage of eligible children receiving all	Increase the percentage of eligible children receiving all	Increase the percentage of eligible children receiving all
vaccinations in HEDIS Combination 2 by 0.7% per year for	vaccinations in HEDIS Combination 2 by 0.7 percentage	vaccinations in HEDIS Combination 2 by 0.7 percentage
the next three years.	points per year for the next three years.	points per year for the next three years.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.		∑ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2014	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2015	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2016
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guici. Specify.	Guier. specify.	Guici. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Eligible population who receive all	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who receive all	Definition of numerator: Eligible population who receive all
vacinations in Combination 2.	vacinations in Combination 2.	vaccinations in Combination 2.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
number of children excluded: Eligible population who turn 2 years of age during the measurement year with continuous	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
enrollment 12 months prior.		
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2015 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2015
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
(3) F mag min 12210, 12210 till memodology)	(2 1 0	(3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0	Numerator: 0
Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0	Denominator: 0
Rate: 81.0	Rate: 75.1	Rate: 80.1

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: The state weighted average is based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2014 rate of 81.0% was 0.8 percentage points above the 2014 performance benchmark of 79.2%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews specifically explored this measurement topic with CHIP health insurers. The availability of vaccines, the increase in the number of vaccines recommended, the	Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2014 rate of 75.1 was 6.6 percentage points below the 2015 performance benchmark of 81.7%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews specifically explored this measurement topic with CHIP health insurers. The availability of vaccines, the increase in the number of vaccines recommended, the	Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report? The PA CHIP HEDIS 2016 rate of 80.1 was 5.6 percentage points above the 2016 performance benchmark of 75.8%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? 2009 onsite reviews specifically explored this measurement topic with CHIP health insurers. The availability of vaccines, the increase in the number of vaccines recommended, the
complexity of the immunization schedule, parents uncertainty surrounding the potential for vaccines to cause autism, and the HEDIS methodology for collecting the data were all mentioned as barriers for	complexity of the immunization schedule, parents uncertainty surrounding the potential for vaccines to cause autism, and the HEDIS methodology for collecting the data were all mentioned as barriers for	complexity of the immunization schedule, parents uncertainty surrounding the potential for vaccines to cause autism, and the HEDIS methodology for collecting the data were all mentioned as barriers for

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
improving this measure. Currently PA CHIP is	improving this measure. Currenlty PA CHIP is	improving this measure. Currently PA CHIP is
encouraging health insurars to engage in aggressive	encouraging health insurars to partake in aggressive	encouraging health insurers to partake in aggressive
outreach programs that include social networking and	outreach programs that include social networking and	outreach programs that include social networking and
parent education to target this population. Additional	parent education to target this population. Additional	parent education to target this population. Additional
efforts have been made to educate PCPs that PA CHIP	efforts have been made to educate PCPs that PA CHIP	efforts have been made to educate PCPs that PA CHIP
members are not eligible for VFC and that they should	members are not eligible for VFC and that they should	members are not eligible for VFC and that they should
be provided with all recommended vaccinations on	be provided with all recommended vaccinations on	be provided with all recommended vaccinations on
schedule. In 2014 the performance objectives were	schedule. In 2015 the performance objectives were	schedule. In 2016 the performance objectives were
reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2017.	reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2018.	reviewed and extended to include an objective for 2019.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 81.7	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:</b> 82.4	75.8%	76.3%
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:</b> 83.1	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
	76.5%	77.0%
Explain how these objectives were set: The rate for this	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
measure increased by 2.1 percentage points between	77.2%	77.7%
HEDIS 2013 and HEDIS 2014, which also exceeded the		
three year projection for this measure. Therefore a goal	Explain how these objectives were set: The rate for this	Explain how these objectives were set: The rate for this
was set to increase this rate by 0.7 percentage points	measure increased by 2.1 percentage points between HEDIS	measure increased by 2.1 percentage points between HEDIS
each year over the next three years in order to replicate this observed increase.	2013 and HEDIS 2014, which also exceeded the three year	2013 and HEDIS 2014, which also exceeded the three year
uns observed increase.	projection for this measure. Therefore a goal was set to increase this rate by 0.7 percentage points each year over the	projection for this measure. Therefore a goal was set to increase this rate by 0.7 percentage points each year over the
	next three years in order to replicate this observed increase.	next three years in order to replicate this observed increase.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Combo 2 (All Contractors	Other Comments on Measure: Ae IBC NEPA HPHP
Other Comments on Measure.	are "H")	UPMC GHP CBC HPPO HHMO UHC PA Wtd Avge
	Aetna CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP	Elig-pop: 137 195 51 69 424 223 191 104 94 478
	KHPE UHCP UPMC	Denom: 137 194 51 69 411 223 191 104 94 411
	Eligible Pop 213 261 66 197 123 153 49 262	Num: 100 147 43 59 342 187 145 82 82 323
	457 369	Rate % 73 75.8 84.3 85.5 83.2 83.9 75.9 78.9 87.2 78.6
	Denominator 212 260 65 197 123 153 49 61	80.1%
	411 366	
	Numerator 159 200 44 165 98 135 34 205	
	262 279	
	Rate (%) 75.00% 76.92% 67.69% 83.76% 79.67% 88.24%	
	69.39% 78.54% 63.75% 76.23%	
	PA CHIP Weighted Average 75.14%	

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
Goal #3 (Describe) Increase by 1.3% per year the number of members ages four	Goal #3 (Describe) Annual Vision Screening: Increase by 1.3 percentage points	Goal #3 (Describe) For 2016, the Annual Vision Screening measure was removed
through 19 years of age who receive an annual vision screening exam during the measurement year.	per year the number of members ages four through 19 years of age who receive an annual vision screening exam during the measurement year.	as a Contractor submission requirement, and is not a CMS reportable measure.
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain:
<ul><li></li></ul>	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:	Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:	Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	⊠ Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. <i>Specify year of annual report in which data previously</i>	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain: Percent of enrollees four through 19 years	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain: Percent of enrollees four through 19 years	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐ Other. Explain:
of age who received one (or more) visual acuity screenings	of age who received one (or more) visual acuity screenings	_ '
(CPT 99173) during the measurement year. <b>Data Source:</b>	(CPT 99173) during the measurement year. <b>Data Source:</b>	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify: Eligible population with a visual acuity screening (CPT)	Other. Specify:
	99173) during the measurement year.	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Eligible population with a visual	Definition of numerator: Eligible population with a visual	Definition of numerator:
acuity screening (CPT 99173) during the measurement year. Definition of denominator:	acuity screening (CPT 99173) during the measurement year. Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	number of children excluded:
number of children excluded: All CHIP enrollees that are	number of children excluded: All CHIP enrollees that are	
ages four through 19 during the measurement year that have	ages four through 19 during the measurement year that have	
been enrolled for the previous 12 months with no more than one gap in enrollment. Gap may not exceed 45 days in length.	been enrolled for the previous 12 months with no more than one gap in enrollment. Gap may not exceed 45 days in length.	
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2014 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2014	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2016
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
☐Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 20857 Denominator: 110417 Rate: 18.9	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 22400 Denominator: 102070 Rate: 21.9	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure: Aetna CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP KHPE UHCP UPMC PA Wtd Avg E-Pop  Den 12088 14424 7600 4226 15191 8579 1754 21215 15109 10231  Num 2856 1407 1053 600 1026 358 516 6205 4458 2,378  Rate(%) 23.63 9.75 13.86 14.20 6.75 4.17 29.42 29.25 29.51 23.24 18.89	Additional notes on measure: AETNA CBC FPH GHP HBCBS HBS HPHP KHPE UHCP UPMC Eligible 8,691 13,107 6,176 5,310 10,901 6,648 2,455 18,643 16,782 13,357 Denominator 8,691 13,107 6,176 5,310 10,901 6,648 2,455 18,643 16,782 13,357 Number 2,427 1,483 920 1,129 1,211 404 836 6,043 4,860 3,087 Rate % 27.93 11.31 14.90 21.26 11.11 6.08 34.05 32.41 28.96 23.11 PA CHIP Weighted Average 21.95	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? The rate of 18.9% was 1.3	How did your performance in 2015 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2014 Annual Report? The 2014 Vision Screening rate of 21.9% was 1.7 percentage points above the 2015	How did your performance in 2016 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2015 Annual Report?

FFY 2014	EEV 2015	FFY 2016
	FFY 2015	FFY 2010
percentage points above the 2014 performance objective of 17.6%.	performance objective of 20.2% and 0.4 percentage points above the performance objective for 2016.	
01 17.0%.	points above the performance objective for 2016.	
What quality improvement activities that involve the		
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help		
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
improve your results for this measure, or make	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
progress toward your goal? PA CHIP is currently in	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
the process of creating a claims data warehouse that will	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
allow for closer monitoring and trending of utilization.	progress toward your goal? PA CHIP is currently in	progress toward your goal?
This data warehouse is being constructed with the ability	the process of creating a claims data warehouse that will	
to identify areas of high and low utilization as well as	allow for closer monitoring and trending of utilization.  This data warehouse is being constructed with the ability	
trend provider access issues. In addition to encouraging	to identify areas of high and low utilization as well as	
CHIP health insurers to outreach to members and	trend provider access issues. In addition to encouraging	
emphasize that vision care and equipment are covered	CHIP health insurers to outreach to members and	
by PA CHIP, we hope to be able to identify areas that	emphasize that vision care and equipment are covered	
may require additional interventions with the help of the	by PA CHIP, we hope to be able to identify areas that	
data warehouse. In 2014 the performance objectives	may require additional interventions with the help of the	
were reviewed and extended to include an objective for	data warehouse. In 2015 the performance objectives	
2017.	were reviewed and extended to include an objective for	
	2018.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in		
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
A I D C OL' C DEV 2015	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 20.2%		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
21.5%	23.2%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	
22.8%	24.5%	
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2018:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2019:
Explain how these objectives were set: Data regarding	25.8%	Ember hand and the discourse and
the prevalence of vision problems warranting correction	Explain how these objectives were set: Data regarding	Explain how these objectives were set:
within this population was reviewed and a programmatic	the prevalence of vision problems warranting correction	
goal of 15% was determined to be appropriate. The	within this population was reviewed and a programmatic goal	
program rate met this goal after the first year, therefore	was established of 15% was determined to be appropriate.	
the goal was extended to include projections for the next	The program rate met this goal after the first year therefore	
three years. The percent improvement was divided	the goal was extended to include projections for the next three	
equally across the three years as there are no trends	years. The percent improvement was divided equally across	
available for study that might favor an alternative	the three years as there are no trends available for study that	
approach	might favor an alternative approach.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your state use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found? [7500]

Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) and Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) have been used as primary measurement tools to date. In addition, PA CHIP health plans are contractually required to submit quarterly and annual reports that provide aggregated data on utilization of services.

The PA CHIP HEDIS 2016 report (based on 2014 and 2015 service dates, as appropriate to the measure) compared the PA CHIP health plan weighted average to the weighted average of all PA Medicaid managed care plans and to the average of National Medicaid plans that submitted data to NCQA. For HEDIS 2016, the PA CHIP weighted average was higher than the PA Medicaid managed care average across a majority of measures assessing Effectiveness of Care (EOC) and Access and Availability (AA) with the exception of Weight Assessment and Counseling for BMI and Nutrition, Human Papillomavirus for Female Adolescents, Lead screening in Children, Chlamydia Screening in Women, Appropriate Treatment for Children with Upper Respiratory Infection and Medication Management for People With Asthma. For HEDIS 2016 Use of Services (UOS) measures, Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 visits) and Ambulatory Care (Outpatient visits and ED visits), PA CHIP members had lower utilization of health care services than did PA Medicaid managed care health plan members of comparable age.

When compared to the National Medicaid HMO health plan average, the PA CHIP health plan average is higher across most EOC, AA and UOS measures with the exception of the Lead Screening in Children measure (below 25th percentile) and Chlamydia Screening in Women (Below 5th percentile). The PA CHIP health plan average is lower for the Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life: 0, 1, 2, and 3 Visits (at or below the 10th percentile), but is higher for 5 visits and 6 or more visits (above 75th percentile).

2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? [7500]

PA CHIP has multiple strategies for measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by the CHIP population. In 2007, PA CHIP set objectives and performance goals. Those objectives and goals were outlined in the FY 2007 Annual Report. These objectives and the status of each goal follow.

Objective: To expand the CHIP performance measurement set.

## Performance goal status:

- For HEDIS 2011, PA CHIP required reporting of the Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children and Adolescents (WCC) measure.
- For HEDIS 2012, PA CHIP continued requiring the reporting of the current HEDIS measures.
- For HEDIS 2013, PA CHIP required reporting of the Chlamydia Screening in Women and Followup after hospitalization for Mental Illness measures.
- For HEDIS 2014, continued requiring the reporting of the current HEDIS measures.
- For HEDIS 2015, PA CHIP required reporting of the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine for Female Adolescents (HPV) measure.
- For HEDIS 2016, PA CHIP required the reporting of the Medication Management for People with Asthma (MMA) measure.
- In 2007, PA CHIP implemented a PA-specific performance measure "Annual Body Mass Index Screening for Children and Adolescents." In 2011 PA CHIP retired this PA-specific measure and required CHIP Annual Report Template FFY 2016

the reporting of the HEDIS WCC-BMI measure.

- In 2009, PA CHIP implemented a PA-specific performance measure "Emergency Department Encounter Rate for Asthma in Children and Adolescents."
- In 2010, PA CHIP implemented PA-specific performance measures "Early and Periodic Screening Diagnosis and Testing Annual Vision Screening," "Early and Periodic Screening Diagnosis and Testing Developmental Screening," and "Periodic Dental Evaluations for Children and Adolescents and Dental Sealants for Children."
- In 2013, PA CHIP implemented PA-specific performance measures Annual Number of Asthma Patients with An Asthma Related ER Visit and Total Eligibles who Received Dental Treatment and Preventive Dental Services.
- In 2014, PA CHIP continued requiring the reporting of the current PA-specific performance measures.
- In 2015, PA CHIP continued requiring the reporting of the current PA-specific performance measures.
- In 2016, PA CHIP continued requiring the reporting of three of the current PA-specific performance measures. PA CHIP implemented a PA-specific performance measure "Dental Sealants for 6 to 9 Year Old Children at Elevated Carries Risk" this measure replaced "Dental Sealants for Children" which was retired by CMS.

Objective: To ensure consistency in CHIP performance measurement.

## Performance goal status:

- For HEDIS 2012, PA CHIP required that HEDIS performance measures be subject to audit by a National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)-certified HEDIS audit organization. This requirement continued for HEDIS 2013.
- For HEDIS 2012, PA CHIP required HEDIS performance measures be reported annually and not be subject to rotation. This requirement continued for HEDIS 2013.
- For HEDIS 2012, PA CHIP required the CAHPS survey to be subject to audit by an NCQA-certified HEDIS audit organization. This requirement continued for HEDIS 2013.
- For HEDIS 2012, PA CHIP established comparisons to statewide weighted averages and continued comparisons to national benchmarks and year-over-year outcomes. This continued for HEDIS 2013.
- In 2012, PA CHIP required the PA-specific performance measure be subject to validation by an independent organization. This requirement continued in 2013 for all performance measures.
- In 2013, PA CHIP required the PA-specific performance measure be subject to validation by an independent organization. This requirement continued in 2014 for all performance measures.
- In 2014, PA CHIP required the PA-specific performance measure be subject to validation by an independent organization. This requirement continued in 2015 for all performance measures.
- In 2015, PA CHIP required the PA-specific performance measure be subject to validation by an independent organization. This requirement continued in 2016 for all performance measures.
- In 2016, PA CHIP required the PA-specific performance measure be subject to validation by an independent organization. This requirement will continue in 2017 for all performance measures.

Objective: To initiate public reporting of CHIP performance measures

#### Performance goal status:

- In 2012, PA CHIP published an annual report card that displays each CHIP health insurance companies' rates for selected 2012 CAHPS survey results and 2012 HEDIS measures and compared those results to the statewide average using graphics.
- In 2013, PA CHIP published an annual report card that displays each CHIP health insurance companies' rates for selected 2013 CAHPS survey results and 2013 HEDIS measures and compared those results to the statewide average using graphics.
- In 2014, PA CHIP published an annual report card that displays each CHIP health insurance companies' rates for selected 2014 CAHPS survey results and 2014 HEDIS measures and compared those results to the statewide average using graphics.
- In 2015, PA CHIP published an annual report card that displays each CHIP health insurance companies' rates for selected 2015 CAHPS survey results and 2015 HEDIS measures and compared those results to the statewide average using graphics.
- PA CHIP will prepare and disseminate a similar report card using 2016 CAHPS survey results and 2016 HEDIS measures. The report card will be available in the fourth quarter of 2016.

Objective: To implement a CHIP pay-for-performance program

## Performance goal status:

- In 2007, PA CHIP received and reviewed the "Pay-For-Performance in State Medicaid Programs" survey that was prepared by IPRO and The Commonwealth Fund.
- In 2008, PA CHIP suspended development and implementation of a pay-for-performance methodology due to other Commonwealth priorities.

In 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 PA CHIP continued suspension of a pay-for-performance program due to Commonwealth budgeting issues.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found? [7500]

In calendar year 2007, the PA CHIP program implemented a CHIP-specific Performance Improvement Project (PIP). Pennsylvania selected a PIP focus that is key to advancing CHIP population health outcomes. The PIP topic is reduction of emergency department visits for the CHIP population. A new PIP cycle was implemented beginning in March 2013. CHIP health insurance contractors submitted a project proposal consisting of a rationale for topic selection, quality indicators, baseline analysis, barrier analyses and proposed interventions. In March 2014, CHIP health insurance contractors submitted targeted interventions implemented during calendar year 2013 which were reviewed for clinical relevance by the contracted EQRO, IPRO. Re-measurement rates summarizing utilization in 2014 which were submitted in March 2015 revealed mixed results with demonstrable improvement over baseline achieved for at least one quality indicator for eight of nine PA CHIP Contractors. In March 2016, CHIP contractors submitted subsequent re-measurement rates to demonstrate sustained improvement over baseline along with subsequent targeted interventions aimed at achieving sustained improvement.

In calendar year 2009, the PA CHIP program implemented a CHIP-specific Lead Screening in Children PIP which was chosen to address the problem of elevated blood lead levels. This remains an issue for children in PA. CHIP contractors were required to implement a new PIP with the topic, first quality measure and goal of at least a five percent increase in lead screening rates specified by the State. CHIP health insurance contractors were required to do a root cause or similar analysis to determine the reasons for low blood lead screening rates in the CHIP population and must clearly state why this issue is CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2016

relevant to the contractor's CHIP population. A new PIP cycle was implemented beginning in December 2013. CHIP contractors submitted a project proposal consisting of a rationale for topic selection, quality indicators, baseline analysis, barrier analyses and proposed interventions. In November 2014, CHIP contractors submitted a list of interventions aimed at addressing the barriers identified during the baseline barrier analysis, and they submitted initial re-measurement rates in November 2015. The CHIP PIP submissions will be validated on an annual basis by IPRO, an independent external quality review organization.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives health coverage initiatives. **[7500]** 

#### **CHIP Performance Measure Summaries**

- For 2010, 17.4 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma were seen in an emergency department for asthma during 2009. Health plan rates ranged from 10.2 to 31.9 percent.
- For 2011, 11.6 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma were seen in an emergency department for asthma during 2010. Health plan rates ranged from 8.7 to 15.4 percent.
- For 2012, 9.7 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma were seen in an emergency department for asthma during 2011. Health plan rates ranged from 6.2 to 12.1 percent.
- For 2013, 8.0 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma had at least one visit to the emergency department for asthma during 2012. Health plan rates ranged from 4.9 to 12.8 percent.
- For 2014, 7.8 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma had at least one visit to the emergency department for asthma during 2013. Health plan rates ranged from 3.7 to 14.8 percent.
- For 2015, 9.5 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma had at least one visit to the emergency department for asthma during 2014. Health plan rates ranged from 3.9 to 15.7 percent.
- For 2016, 8.2 percent of enrollees with persistent asthma had at least one visit to the emergency department for asthma during 2015. Health plan rates ranged from 3.3 to 14.0 percent.
- For 2010, 11.1 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2009. Health plan rates ranged from 2.8 to 22.9 percent.
- For 2011, 13.1 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2010. Health plan rates ranged from 3.4 to 24.6 percent.
- For 2012, 15.0 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2011. Health plan rates ranged from 4.1 to 27.3 percent.
- For 2013, 17.1 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2012. Health plan rates ranged from 4.0 to 29.4 percent.
- For 2014, 18.9 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2013. Health plan rates ranged from 4.2 to 29.5 percent.
- For 2015, 21.9 percent of enrollees four through 19 years old received an annual vision screening during 2014. Health plan rates ranged from 6.1 to 34.1 percent.
- For 2016, the Annual Vision Screening measure was removed as a Contractor submission requirement, and is not a CMS reportable measure.
- For 2010, 11.1 percent of enrollees 18 months of age had a developmental screening between 505 and 641 days of age. Health plan rates ranged from 4.9 to 20.1 percent.
- For 2011, 14.7 percent of enrollees 18 months of age had a developmental screening between 505 and 641 days of age. Health plan rates ranged from 5.9 to 25.8 percent.
- For 2012, 24.8 percent of enrollees 18 months of age had a developmental screening between 505 and 641 days of age. Health plan rates ranged from 12.4 to 39.2 percent.
- For 2013, 31.1 percent of enrollees were screened for risk of developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second or third birthday. Health plan rates ranged from 18.9 to 51.1 percent.
- For 2014, this measure was placed on hold due to methodological concerns.
- For 2015, 38.9 percent of enrollees were screened for risk of developmental, behavioral and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second or third birthday. Health plan rates ranged from 23.1 to 59.0 percent.
- For 2016, 48.9 percent of enrollees were screened for risk of developmental, behavioral and social

delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second or third birthday. Health plan rates ranged from 23.1 to 63.9 percent.

- For 2010, 58.2 percent of enrollees three through 19 years of age had a dental evaluation or preventive prophylaxis during 2009. Health plan rates ranged from 41.5 to 69.2 percent.
- For 2011, 56.2 percent of enrollees three through 19 years of age had a dental evaluation or preventive prophylaxis during 2010. Health plan rates ranged from 37.1 to 69.8 percent.
- For 2012, 49.1 percent of enrollees three through 19 years of age had a dental evaluation of preventive prophylaxis during 2011. Health plan rates ranged from 21.1 to 69.6 percent.
- For 2013, 20.1 percent of enrollees one through 20 years of age received dental treatment services during 2012. Health plan rates ranged from 12.3 to 28.1 percent.
- For 2014, 22.0 percent of enrollees one through 20 years old received dental treatment services during 2013. Health plan rates ranged from 18.8 to 27.4 percent.
- For 2015, 21.9 percent of enrollees one through 20 years old received dental treatment services during 2014. Health plan rates ranged from 18.1 to 26.8 percent.
- For 2013, 43.3 percent of enrollees one through 20 years of age received preventive dental services during 2012. Health plan rates ranged from 25.2 to 64.9 percent.
- For 2014, 48.1 percent of enrollees one through 20 years old received preventive dental services during 2013. Health plan rates ranged from 39.7 to 66.6 percent.
- For 2015, 48.0 percent of enrollees one through 20 years old received preventive dental services during 2014. Health plan rates ranged from 37.8 to 66.3 percent.
- For 2016, 53.3 percent of enrollees one through 20 years old received preventive dental services during 2015. Health plan rates ranged from 44.9 to 67.5 percent.
- For 2010, 27.5 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2009 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 0.0 to 50.2 percent.
- For 2011, 43.8 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2010 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 13.6 to 56.7 percent.
- For 2012, 44.8 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2011 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 15.1 to 60.1%.
- For 2013, 33.7 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2012 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 6.9 to 47.5 percent.
- For 2014, 39.1 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2013 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 11.8 to 50.5 percent.
- For 2015, 41.6 percent of enrollees who turned eight in 2014 received a dental sealant during the prior three years. Health plan rates ranged from 13.1 to 53.3 percent
- For 2016, the Dental Sealants for Children measure was retired by PA CHIP, and was replaced in 2016 by the Dental Sealants for 6 to 9 Year Old Children at Elevated Risk measure.

Continued below

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIB below [7500].

CAHPS survey 5.0H. See summary below.

- From the ten PA CHIP health plans which participated in the survey, 6,135 respondents completed the CAHPS 5.0H Questionnaire. The respondents completed the questionnaire on behalf of a child enrolled in one of the commercial-based or Medicaid-based HMO plans.
- Respondent Characteristics—PA CHIP CAHPS 5.0H Survey Respondents
- o For CAHPS 2016, the majority of respondents were female (84.0 percent). A large proportion of survey respondents had a high school diploma (30.9 percent) or some college education (37.2 percent). In addition, the majority of respondents indicated that their child is white (71.7 percent) and was in "excellent" or "very good" health (85.9 percent).
- Global Rating Questions
- o The Global Rating Questions asked respondents to rate each of four aspects of their child's health care CHIP Annual Report Template FFY 2016 56

on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is the "worst possible" and 10 is the "best possible."

o For 2016, the PA CHIP plan average for enrollees who rated their child's health plan 8, 9, or 10 was 86.0 percent. The average across health plans for PA CHIP enrollees who rated their child's personal doctor 8, 9, or 10 was 86.7 percent, with health plan ratings ranging from 79.6 to 92.6.

## • Composite Scores

o Each Composite contained a set of survey questions. To obtain a Composite Score, the responses for all questions comprising a Composite were averaged.

o The PA CHIP health plan rates ranged from 86.4 to 99.0 percent of enrollees who indicated that they are "usually" or "always" able to get urgent care quickly for their child. The PA CHIP plan rates ranged from 84.0 to 96.6 percent of enrollees who indicated that they are "usually" or "always" able to get routine care appointments for their child.

# SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

### Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### A. OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

We continued the use of our existing "Within Reach" creative to build upon the momentum created by the campaign in 2014 - 2015. We ran a statewide multi-media mix campaign from May 2016 through July 2016 to reach markets with the highest percentage of uninsured children in Pennsylvania and to heighten the awareness of the program. We aired TV spots statewide across all media markets including both broadcast and cable. A mix of television combined with radio advertising (English and Spanish) was used in an attempt to penetrate the more underserved and harder to reach markets with a concentration in the urban areas, and Radio PA was used to reach the rural areas of the state.

Another attempt at reaching the largest amount of individuals was to use digital, social media, and mobile marketing tactics statewide that would drive the target to the CHIP website www.CHIPcoversPAkids.com to apply for or renew CHIP coverage. Key search words were also used to drive search engine results to the CHIP website.

Univision Network, a Spanish television station, aired a series of two minute vignettes promoting CHIP on their number one Spanish language morning show in Philadelphia called Despierta America. The vignettes aired five times per week for a total of 12 weeks from May through July.

Additionally, with the growing popularity of urgent care centers, we were able to reach parents and guardians who were seeking treatment for healthcare issues by placing banner stands and brochures in the centers' waiting rooms and lobbies. Signage was placed in 48 urgent care locations across Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, and Harrisburg and a few in rural areas for a three month period.

Grassroots outreach efforts focused on identifying and educating community-based organizations as well as healthcare and educational institutions in an effort to reach the parents of uninsured kids and teens. Outreach included both general and minority markets. Core target areas for outreach efforts included counties with the highest percentages and highest numbers of uninsured children in the state, thus the highest potential to enroll. Those counties included Philadelphia, Lancaster, Berks, Chester, Montgomery, Franklin, Lebanon, and Dauphin.

The outreach efforts used CHIP Enrollment Teams which consisted of Outreach Service Representatives (OSRs who are trained on the program and served as community guides educating the public about CHIP and leading activities through community and family events. OSRs are knowledgeable on the eligibility criteria, the application process, and on using the COMPASS website for enrollment. The enrollment teams participated in 22 events in May and June with attendance of nearly 90,000 people who were exposed to CHIP's message to apply for or renew CHIP benefits.

CHIP implemented an inbound call center May 2016 to provide customer service to Pennsylvanians seeking information on the program. Over 40,552 calls came to the 1-800-986-KIDS toll free number. Application assistance is also available through the call center.

In August, CHIP launched a new-and-improved website that will help CHIP efficiently provide health care to Pennsylvania's children by making it easier for families looking for health care coverage for their children, CHIP recipients, and providers to access important information. Improving customer service is at the heart of each decision we make at CHIP.

In the fall, CHIP staff worked with the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) to see that Intermediate Units and public schools received electronic files of a flyer to promote CHIP (Attachment #1) to all school-aged children in Pennsylvania. The flyers are in English and Spanish (front and back). By working with the PDE, we are assured that the flyers are being seen by parent(s) or guardians of children potentially eligible for CHIP. Intermediate Units can request paper flyers if that is more suitable for their families.

CHIP also printed approximately 120,000 "New Birth" flyers (Attachment #2) for insertion with each complimentary birth certificate that was mailed to the households of Pennsylvania newborns. The Pennsylvania Department of Health (DOH) has been a great partner in extending our message to new parents.

CHIP insurance company contractors conduct community outreach at the local level in each of their service areas. Each county has two to six CHIP contractors, which provides for creative and effective coverage to underserved populations. Each CHIP contractor conducts marketing and outreach efforts in a different way, thus reaching different segments of Pennsylvania's diverse population. By conducting different outreach efforts across a range of contractors, CHIP has been successful in reaching a large portion of Pennsylvania's uninsured families.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

A multi-pronged marketing and outreach approach is very effective in reaching citizens with CHIP's message. However, grassroots outreach, word-of-mouth, and referrals continue to be CHIP's most valuable outreach methods for general markets. CHIP outreach always encourages citizens to tell family, friends, co-workers and neighbors about the program.

We measure our success by the increased number of enrollments and the number of calls coming to the toll free number during the period when outreach is being conducted.

- 3. Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500] It is difficult to point to one best practice because it is a combination of our efforts driving awareness from marketing campaigns, grassroots efforts, contract outreach and word of mouth that equal success.
- 4. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?
   ☑ Yes
   ☐ No

Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

CHIP and its health insurance company contractors continually seek new avenues for community outreach and raising awareness about the CHIP program. Community-based organizations provide a significant point of entry into underserved, uninsured markets, and CHIP and its health insurance company contractors utilize our extensive community network of resources to reach out to their communities.

Mendoza Group Inc. (MGI) specializes in multi-cultural communications and urban and community marketing. MGI worked on CHIP's behalf to target the minority population by being our "feet on the street", reaching our target where they live, work, and worship. Our grassroots efforts were organized across the state and provided opportunities for face-to-face conversation about the CHIP program.

CHIP has created Spanish marketing and outreach materials including a radio spot, brochures and posters, applications, and website.

5. What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? [5]

(Identify the data source used). [7500]

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2016

# B. Substitution of Coverage (Crowd-out)

All states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses when applicable and requested.

1. Table 1.

	$\boxtimes$	No				
		Yes				
Does your program	Specify number	er of months				
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? [1000]					
	List all exemptions to imposing the period of uninsurance [1000]					
		N/A				
Does your program		No				
match prospective enrollees to a database	$\boxtimes$	Yes				
that details private insurance status?	If yes, what da	tabase? [1000]				
		N/A				

2. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) \* 100] [5] 4 and what percent of applicants are found to have other group insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) \* 100] [5]? 1.3 Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5] 4

- 3. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage [5] 4
  - a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)\*100]? [5]

4.	Do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?
	⊠ Yes

□ No

If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last federal fiscal year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)\*100]? [5] 5.9

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIB below. [7500]

## C. ELIGIBILITY

This subsection should be completed by all states. Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A.

Sect	ion III	C: Subpart A: Eligibility Renewal and Retention
		u have authority in your CHIP state plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have you nented this?   Yes  No
	If y	yes
	a)	What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5]
	b)	Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination those children are determined eligible and enrolled? [5]
		the measures from those below that your state employ to simplify an eligibility al and retain eligible children in CHIP?
	] C	onducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
$\boxtimes$	] Se	ends renewal reminder notices to all families
	•	How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500]
	• er	2 Notices: The first at 90 days prior to the the renewal due date and the second at 60 days. At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the
	by	of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received the state?) [500]
		90 days and 60 days in advance of due date
	] O	ther, please explain: [500]

3. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

Many families will renew as soon as they receive the first 90 day notice, but many will wait until the later period. If they do not renew prior to the 30 days, contractors will conduct additional outreach.

Section IIIC: Subpart B: Eligibility Data

States are required to report on all questions (1,1.a.,1.b., and 1.c) in FFY 2016. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

Measure	Number	Percent
Total number of denials of title XXI Coverage	40910	100
a. Total number of procedural denials	3650	8.9
b. Total number of eligibility denials	35079	85.7
<ul> <li>Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX</li> </ul>	4714	11.5
(Check here if there are no additional categories □) c. Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please indicate:	2181	5.3

2. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table:

#### **Definitions:**

- The "the total number of denials of title XXI Coverage" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2016. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
  - a. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2016 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
  - b. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2016 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.)
    - i. The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
  - c. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

#### **Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children**

For this table, reporting is required for FFY 2016.

## Table 2a. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

		Number	Percent				
1.	Total number of children who are enrolled in title XXI and eligible to be redetermined	151560	100%				
2.	Total number of children screened for redetermination for title XXI	151560	100	100%			

3.	Total number of children retained in title XXI after the redetermination process					
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process	51633	34.07	34.07	100%	
	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures	29579			57.29	
	b. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria	21305			41.26	100%
	<ul> <li>I. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI         (If unable to provide the data, check here □)</li> </ul>	0				
	II. Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)	14155				66.44
	iii. Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here □)	1828				8.58
	iv. Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate:	5322				24.98
	(If unable to provide the data check here $\square$ )					
	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories □)	749			1.45	

5. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data.

### **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2016, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2016 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the state ).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2016.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XXI</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2016. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
  - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2016 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
  - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their state's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
  - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

    The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

#### Table 2b. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XIX

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

	Number	Percent					
1.Total number of children who are enrolled in title XIX and eligible to be redetermined		100%					
Total number of children screened for redetermination for title XIX			100%				
Total number of children     retained in title XIX after the     redetermination process							

4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process		100%	
	<ul> <li>a. Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to comply with procedures</li> </ul>			
	b. Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to meet eligibility criteria			100%
	v. Disenrolled from title XIX because income too high for title XIX (If unable to provide the data, check here   )			
	vi. Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate:  (If unable to provide the data check here			
	c. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate:  (Check here if there			
	are no additional categories □)			

5. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data.

## **Definitions:**

1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2016, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total must include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a state uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility. This total number must also include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the state sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes.

- The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that
  were screened by the state for redetermination in FFY 2016 (i.e., ex parte redeterminations and
  administrative redeterminations, as well as those children whose families have returned redetermination
  forms to the state ).
- 3. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2016.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XIX after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XIX</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2016. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to CHIP for title XXI eligibility screening.
  - a. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2016 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
  - b. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for no longer meeting one or more of their state's Medicaid eligibility criteria (i.e., income too high, etc.).
  - c. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XIX (line 4).

## Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XIX and Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2016

The purpose of tables 3a and 3b is to measure the duration, or continuity, of Medicaid and CHIP enrollees' coverage. This information is required by Section 402(a) of CHIPRA. **Reporting on this table is required.** 

Because the measure is designed to capture continuity of coverage in title XIX and title XXI beyond one year of enrollment, the measure collects data for 18 months of enrollment. This means that reporting spans two CARTS reports over two years. The duration measure uses a cohort of children and follows the enrollment of the same cohort of children for 18 months to measure continuity of coverage. States identify a new cohort of children every two years. States identified newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2016 (January, February, and March of 2016) for the FFY 2016 CARTS report. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

The FFY 2016 CARTS report is the first year of reporting in the cycle of two CARTS reports on the cohort of children identified in the second quarter of FFY 2016. States will continue to report on the same table in the FFY 2017 CARTS reports. The next cohort of children will be identified in the second quarter of the FFY 2018 (January, February and March 2018).

**Instructions:** For this measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in both title XIX and title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2016 must have birthdates after July 1999 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18<sup>th</sup> month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2016 must have birthdates after August 1999, and children enrolled in March 2016 must have birthdates after September 1999. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span).

Please enter the data requested in the tables below, and the template will tabulate the percentages. In this report you will only enter data on the 6-month enrollment status. Only enter a "0" (zero) if the data are known to be zero. If data are unknown or unavailable, leave the field blank.

Note that all data must sum correctly in order to save and move to the next page. The data in each individual row must add across to sum to the total in the "All Children Ages 0-16" column for that row. And in each column, the data within each time period (6, 12 and 18 months) must each sum up to the data in row 1, which is the number of children in the cohort. This means that in each column, rows 2, 3 and 4 must sum to the total in row 1; rows 5, 6 and 7 must sum to the row 1; and rows 8, 9 and 10 must sum to row 1. Rows numbered with an "a" (e.g., rows 3a and 4a) are excluded from the total because they are subsets of their respective rows.

# Table 3a. <u>Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XIX</u>

□Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2015, etc.)
□ <b>Not Previously Enrolled in Medicaid</b> —"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in title XIX in December 2015, etc.)

Duration Measure, Title XIX		All Children	Ages 0-16	Age Less than 1	2 months	Ag 1-	ges -5		ges 12		ges -16
11111		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2016	7,000	100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
2.											
				Enro	ollment Status 6	months later					
3.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XIX										
4.	Total number of children with a break in title XIX coverage but re-enrolled in title XIX										
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) during title XIX coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here										
5.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XIX										
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in CHIP (title XXI) after being disenrolled from title XIX										

	(1)	1					l		I	l	
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here										
Enrollment Status 12 months later											
6.	Total number of										
	children continuously										
	enrolled in title XIX										
7.	Total number of										
/ .	children with a break										
	in title XIX coverage										
	but re-enrolled in title										
	XIX										
	6.a. Total number of										
	children enrolled in										
	CHIP (title XXI)										
	during title XIX										
	coverage break										
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here										
	$\square$ )										
8.	Total number of										
	children disenrolled										
	from title XIX										
	7.a. Total number of										
	children enrolled in										
	CHIP (title XXI) after										
	being disenrolled										
	from title XIX										
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here										
	□)			F1		41 1 4					
	Total number of		ı	Enroll	ment Status 18	months later			l		
9.											
	children continuously										
10	enrolled in title XIX										
10.	Total number of										
	children with a break		[								
	in title XIX coverage										
	but re-enrolled in title										
	XIX										
	9.a. Total number of		[								
	children enrolled in										
	CHIP (title XXI)		[								
	during title XIX		[								
	coverage break		[								
L			1		1	1	1	1	1	1	

	(If unable to provide the data, check here					
	□) ·					
11.	Total number of					
	children disenrolled					
	from title XIX					
	10.aTotal number of					
	children enrolled in					
	CHIP (title XXI) after					
	being disenrolled					
	from title XIX					
	(If unable to provide					
	the data, check here					
	$\square$ )					

#### **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XIX in the second quarter of FFY 2016" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XIX, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XIX for <u>6 months</u> is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2016
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XIX coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2016
- 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XIX, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2016
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2016
- 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 12 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2016

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2017
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2017
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of December 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of January 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of February 2017
  - 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XIX 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2017
  - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XXI in the month after their disenrollment from title XIX.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XIX for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2017 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2017
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XIX coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XIX by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of June 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XIX by the end of August 2017
  - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disensolled from title XIX 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and disenrolled by the end of June 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and disenrolled by the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and disenrolled by the end of August 2017
  - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XXI (CHIP) in the month after their disenvolument from XIX.

## Table 3b. Duration Measure of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2015, etc.)

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2016, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2015, etc.)

Duration Measure, Title XXI		All Children Ages 0-16		Age Less than 12 months		Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
11010		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016	15499	100%	273	100%	3816	100%	7482	100%	3928	100%
					Enrollment	Status 6 montl	ns later				
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	11794	76.1	188	68.86	2914	76.36	5639	75.37	3053	77.72
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI	172	1.11	3	1.1	29	0.76	96	1.28	44	1.12
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI) during title XXI coverage break										

	(If unable to provide the data, check here ⊠)										
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI	3533	22.8	82	30.04	873	22.88	1747	23.35	831	21.16
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI)										
	after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the										
	data, check here ⊠)				Envollment C	tatus 12 months	latau				
5.	Total number				Em onnient S	tatus 12 montus	later				
] .	of children										
	continuously										
	enrolled in										
	title XXI										
6.	Total number										
	of children										
	with a break										
	in title XIX										
	coverage but re-enrolled in										
	title XXI										
	6.a. Total										
	number of										
	mannoon on						1				
1	children										
	children enrolled in										
	children enrolled in Medicaid										
	children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI)										
	children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI) during title										
	children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI) during title XXI										
	children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI) during title										

	(If unable to						
	(II ullable to						
	provide the						
	data, check						
	here □)						
7.	Total number						
	of children						
	disenrolled						
	from title						
	XXI						
	7.a. Total						
	number of						
	children						
	enrolled in						
	Medicaid						
	(title XXI)						
	after being						
	disenrolled						
	from title						
	mom title						
	XXI						
	(If unable to						
	provide the						
	data, check						
	here □)						
	here   )		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	here      Total number		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	Total number of children		Enrollment S	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	Total number of children continuously		Enrollment S	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI Total number		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI Total number of children		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total		Enrollment St	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children enrolled in title xXI		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XXI) during title XXI		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		
	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI  9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title		Enrollment So	tatus 18 months	later		

	(If unable to					
	provide the					
	data, check					
	here □)					
10.	Total number					
	of children					
	disenrolled					
	from title XXI					
	10.aTotal					
	number of					
	children					
	enrolled in					
	Medicaid (title					
	XXI) after					
	being					
	disenrolled					
	from title XXI					
	(If unable to					
	provide the					
	data, check					
	here (					

## **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2016" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 6 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2016
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2016
  - 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

- 4. The total number who disensolled from title XXI, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2016
  - 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2017
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of December 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of January 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of February 2017
  - 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were enrolled in January 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2016
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were enrolled in February 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were enrolled in March 2016 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2017
  - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2017
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2017

- 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI <u>18 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1999, who were newly enrolled in January 2016 and disenrolled by the end of June 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1999, who were newly enrolled in February 2016 and disenrolled by the end of July 2017
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1999, who were newly enrolled in March 2016 and disenrolled by the end of August 2017
  - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX (Medicaid) in the month after their disenrollment from XXI.

Enter any Narrative text related to section IIIC below. [7500]

# D. Cost Sharing

1.		be how the state tracks cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5 percent ate maximum in the year?
	a.	Cost sharing is tracked by:
		☑ Enrollees (shoebox method) If the state uses the shoebox method, please describe informational tools provided to enrollees to track cost sharing. [7500]
		Enrollees are notified by CHIP regarding their maximum expenses and advising them to notify us if they believe they have exceeded this amount. Once they believe they have exceeded the amount, CHIP will review and notify the contractor to stop collecting the premiums and send a new insurance card if more than 60 days remain untiltheir renewal due date.  Health Plan(s)  State  Third Party Administrator  N/A (No cost sharing required)  Other, please explain. [7500]
		Cuter, piease explain. [1300]
2.		he family reaches the 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing ceased?
3.		describe how providers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees ling the 5% cap. <b>[7500]</b>
	already limit, th cost sh The ap eligibilit	ne limits have been reached, a family can apply to the state for a rebate of any cost sharing a paid in excess of the limit. Upon verification that the family exceeded the 5% cost sharing e state will issue a letter to each child in the family to present to the provider that explains that aring is exempt until a specified date (redetermination date) that will be included on the letter. propriate contractors will also receive the letter and will then cease premiums until the next try period begins. If more than 90 days still exist in the current eligibility period, a new note identification card is issued that shows the provider that no cost sharing is to be charged.
4.		provide an estimate of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the state's rogram during the federal fiscal year. <b>[500]</b>
	Zero	
5.		ur state undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on ation in CHIP?
	If so, w	hat have you found? [7500]
6.		ur state undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health s in CHIP?
	If so, w	hat have you found? [7500]

7. If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of children's health services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

Cost sharing has not changed significantly in the past federal fiscal year.

Enter any Narrative text related to section IIID below. [7500]

E. EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE CHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION
<ol> <li>Does your state offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?</li> </ol>
<ul><li>☐ Yes, please answer questions below.</li><li>☒ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.</li></ul>
Children
Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
<ul> <li>□ Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(3))</li> <li>□ Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10))</li> <li>□ Section 1115 demonstration (Title XXI)</li> <li>□ Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906)</li> <li>□ Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)</li> </ul>
Adults  Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
<ul> <li>Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10))</li> <li>Section 1115 demonstration (Title XXI)</li> <li>Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906)</li> <li>Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906A)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)</li> <li>Parents and Caretaker Relatives</li> <li>Pregnant Women</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]</li> </ol>
4. What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]

5. Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package?

☐ Yes ☐ No
6. Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits?  Yes
<ul><li>No</li><li>7. Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program?</li></ul>
☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul><li>8. Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?</li><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>
9. Are there protections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your premium assistance program?
☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent yearly aggregate maximum [7500]?
10. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
11. Provide the average monthly enrollment of children and parents ever enrolled in the premium assistance program during FFY 2016
Children
Parents
<ol> <li>During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program?     [7500]</li> </ol>
14. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal

year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

	is the impact of your ESI progon of children? How was this i	gram (including premium assistance) on measured? [7500]
16. Provide the average under your ESI progra		ards coverage of the dependent child/parent
Children		Parent
State:	S	tate:
Employer:	E	mployer:
Employee:	E	mployee:
17. Indicate the range in the state on behalf of a che Children Low Parents Low	•	ount of premium assistance provided by the
18. If you offer a premium <b>[500]</b>	assistance program, what, if	any, is the minimum employer contribution?
19. Please provide the inc	ome levels of the children or f	amilies provided premium assistance.
	From	То
Income level of Childre	en: % of FPL[5]	% of FPL[5]
Income level of Parent	s: % of FPL[5]	% of FPL[5]
20. Is there a required per	iod of uninsurance before enr	olling in premium assistance? [500]
☐ Yes ☐ No		
If yes, what is the period of	f uninsurance? [500]	
21. Do you have a waiting	list for your program?	
☐ Yes ☐ No		
22. Can you cap enrollmen	nt for your program?	
☐ Yes ☐ No		
	e state found to be effective in assistance in ESI? [7500]	n reducing administrative barriers to the

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IIIE below. [7500]

# F. PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE CHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

1.	Does your state ha for:	ve a <u>written</u> plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures
	(1) prevention:	⊠ Yes □ No
	(2) investigatio	n: ⊠ Yes □ No
	(3) referral of c	ases of fraud and abuse? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	Please explain	: [7500]
	transmittals. Clinsurance or endingular to the formular to the prevention providers. CHII department. The Contractor. Contractor and spovernment fur	written procedures for program integrity outlined in policy clarifications and HIP utilizes Cross Match Reports between CHIP, Medical Assistance, private prollment in a state employee health benefit plan. This process assists in detecting avior, as well as assuring public funds are not spent on dual enrollments or those e program. CHIP Contractors also have established policies and procedures for and/or detection of fraud perpetrated by enrollees, employees, or by network P Contractors are required to provide an annual Fraud and Abuse Report to the nese requirements are stated in the contract between DHS and each CHIP intractors are required to include written provisions in all their contracts with subcontracted entities stating that payments for their services are derived from inds. Accordingly, each is required to advise its providers and subcontractors of the ainst fraudulent activities relating to their involvement with the program.
	Do managed h	ealth care plans with which your program contracts have written plans?
	⊠ Yes	
	☐ No	
	Please Explain	: <b>[500]</b>
	and prevention enrollees, or by reported to the proactive detec	ntractor is required to establish written policies and procedures for the detection of Fraud and Abuse that may be committed by providers within their networks, by the CHIP MCO employees. Any changes to policies and procedures must be CHIP office. Each must designate appropriate staff to be responsible for the ction, prevention, and elimination of instances or patterns of fraud and abuse ces to enrollees.
2.	For the reporting p	eriod, please report the
		Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility denials
		Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary
3.		eriod, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, d abuse in the following areas:
	a. Provider Cred	entialing
	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	b. Provider Billin	g
	386	Number of cases investigated

2	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
c. Beneficiar	y Eligibility
4	Number of cases investigated
2	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
Are these case	s for:
CHIP ⊠	
Medicaid a	nd CHIP Combined
4. Does your state	rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
☐ Yes, ple	ease answer question below.
⊠ No	
	ies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide ose contractors? Please explain: [7500]
Do you contract oversight?	with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this
⊠ Yes	
☐ No	
Please exp	lain: <b>[500]</b>
CHIP Controversight.	ractors perform the fraud investigation and enforcement, the department provides the
Enter any Narrative	e text related to section IIIF below. [7500]
C DENTAL BEN	EELTS - Plages ONLY raport data in this saction for children in

G. DENTAL BENEFITS – Please ONLY report data in this section for children in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs. Reporting is required for all states with Separate CHIP programs and Combination programs.

If your state has a Combination program or a Separate CHIP program but you are not reporting data in this section on children in the Separate CHIP part of your program, please explain why. Explain: [7500]

1. Information on Dental Care Children in Separate CHIP Programs (including children in the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs). Include all delivery system types, e.g., MCO, PCCM, FFS.

Data for this table are based on the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)

a. Annual Dental Participation Table for Children Enrolled in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs (for Separate CHIP programs, please include ONLY children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

State: PA				Age Group			
<b>FFY:</b> 2016	Total	< 1	1-2*	3-5	6-9	10-14	15-18
Total individuals enrolled for at least 90 continuous days <sup>1</sup>	183216	0	7321	24051	44366	56993	44627
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services <sup>2</sup> [7]	97837	0	1485	11956	27553	33712	21241
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services <sup>3</sup>	97837	0	1485	11956	27553	33712	21241
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **Total Individuals Enrolled for at Least 90 Continuous Days** – Enter the total unduplicated number of children who have been continuously enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days in the Federal fiscal year, distributed by age. For example, if a child was enrolled January 1<sup>st</sup> to March 31<sup>st</sup>, this child is considered continuously enrolled for at least 90 continuous days in the Federal fiscal year. If a child was enrolled from August 1<sup>st</sup> to September 30<sup>th</sup> and from October 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, the child would not be considered to have been enrolled for 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. Children should be counted in age groupings based on their age at the end of the fiscal year. For example, if a child turned 3 on September 15<sup>th</sup>, the child should be counted in the 3-6 age grouping.

<sup>2</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D0100 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes) based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

<sup>3</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 (or equivalent CDT codes D1000 - D1999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that are for preventive dental services and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

<sup>4</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days who received at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (or equivalent CDT codes D2000 - D9999 or equivalent CPT codes, that is, only those CPT codes that involve periodontics, maxillofacial prosthetics, implants, oral and maxillofacial surgery, orthodontics, adjunctive general services, and only if provided by or under the supervision of a dentist), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim.

Report all dental services data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child received services while in two age categories. For example, if a child turned 10 on September 1<sup>st</sup>, but had a cleaning in April and a cavity filled in September, both the cleaning and the filling would be counted in the 10-14 age category.

b. For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth<sup>5</sup>? [7]

<sup>5</sup>Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth -- Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (or equivalent CDT code D1351), based on an unduplicated paid, unpaid, or denied claim. For this line, include sealants placed by any dental professional for whom placing a sealant is within his or her scope of practice. Permanent molars are teeth numbered 2, 3, 14, 15, 18, 19, 30, 31, and additionally, for those states that cover sealants on third molars, also known as wisdom teeth, the teeth numbered 1, 16, 17, 32.

Report all sealant data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the Federal fiscal year even if the child was factually a different age on the date of service. For example, if a child turned 6 on September 1<sup>st</sup>, but had a sealant applied in July, the sealant would be counted in the age 6-9 category.

2.	Does the state provide supplemental dental coverage?   Yes	⊠ No
	If yes, how many children are enrolled? [7]	
	What percent of the total number of enrolled children have supple [5]	emental dental coverage?

Enter any Narrative text related to section IIIG below. [7500]

### H. CHIPRA CAHPS REQUIREMENT

CHIPRA section 402(a)(2), which amends reporting requirements in section 2108 of the Social Security Act, requires Title XXI Programs (i.e., CHIP Medicaid expansion programs, separate child health programs, or a combination of the two) to report CAHPS results to CMS starting December 2013. While Title XXI Programs may select any CAHPS survey to fulfill this requirement, CMS encourages these programs to align with the CAHPS measure in the Children's Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid and CHIP (Child Core Set). Starting in 2013, Title XXI Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database. More information is available in the Technical Assistance fact sheet, Collecting and Reporting the CAHPS Survey as Required Under the CHIPRA: <a href="http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf">http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf</a>.

If a state would like to provide CAHPS data on both Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, the agency must sample Title XIX (Medicaid) and Title XXI (CHIP) programs separately and submit separate results to CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.

CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.
Did you Collect this Survey in Order to Meet the CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement? ⊠Yes □No
If Yes, How Did you Report this Survey (select all that apply):  ☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ (CAHPS Database)  ☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)  ☐ Other. Explain:
If No, Explain Why: Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
Service not covered
☐ Population not covered
<ul><li>Entire population not covered</li><li>Partial population not covered</li><li>Explain the partial population not covered:</li></ul>
☐ Data not available
Explain why data not available  Budget constraints  Staff constraints  Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain:  Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply:  Requires medical record review  Requires data linkage which does not currently exist  Other:  Information not collected.  Select all that apply:  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)  Other:  Other:
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Enter specific sample size:
Other. Explain:

Definition of Population included in the Survey Sample:
Definition of Population Included in the Survey Sample:
□ Denominator includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only.
<ul> <li>☐ Survey sample includes CHIP Medicaid Expansion population.</li> <li>☐ Survey sample includes Separate CHIP population.</li> <li>☐ Survey sample includes Combination CHIP population.</li> </ul>
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used?
☐ CAHPS® 5.0 ☑ CAHPS® 5.0H ☐ Other.
Explain:
Which Supplemental Item Sets were Included in the Survey?
<ul><li>No supplemental item sets were included</li><li>□ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions</li><li>□ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain:</li></ul>
Which Administrative Protocol was Used to Administer the Survey?
<ul> <li>NCQA HEDIS CAHPS 5.0H administrative protocol</li> <li>□ AHRQ CAHPS administrative protocol</li> <li>□ Other administrative protocol. Explain:</li> </ul>

Enter any Narrative text related to section IIIH below. [7500]

## **SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN**

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2015. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

### **COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2016	2017	2018
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	341759328	400088263	436082055
Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs	341759328	400088263	436082055
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	-12701627	-14869446	-16207171
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 329057701	\$ 385218817	\$ 419874884

## **Administration Costs**

Personnel	2353250	2377500	2672083
General Administration	8600151	3046391	3320566
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs	3057636	3155000	3155000
Other (e.g., indirect costs)			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	14011037	8578891	9147649
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	36561967	42802091	46652765

Federal Title XXI Share	306737759	351464454	382902611
State Share	36330979	42333254	46119922

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	343068738	393797708	429022533

2. What were the	sources of non-federal funding used for state match during the reporting period?
	State appropriations County/local funds Employer contributions Foundation grants Private donations Tobacco settlement Other (specify) [500]

- 3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough federal CHIP funds for your program? [1500]
- 4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month (PMPM) cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	2016		2017		2018	
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care	162006	\$ 169	168707	\$ 190	174329	\$ 201
Fee for Service		\$		\$		\$

Enter any Narrative text related to Section IV below. [7500]

## SECTION V: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP. [7500]

Act 84 of 2015 transferred the administration and oversight of Pennsylvania CHIP from the Pennsylvania Insurance Department to the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services effective December 20, 2015. The intent behind the move was to consolidate resources allowing the state to merge information technology (IT) systems and better meet federal regulations, along with providing better coordination of care between CHIP and Medicaid. The transition was implemented so that families with children enrolled in CHIP would not see any changes to their eligibility, co-payments, benefits, or coverage. Additionally, the legislation reauthorized CHIP in Pennsylvania through December 31, 2017.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? [7500]

In May 2016, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) finalized sweeping regulatory changes for managed care in Medicaid and CHIP. The new provisions have a greater impact on states that operate a separate CHIP program, Pennsylvania being one of those states. Review and plans for implementation of the new regulations has stretched our resources and is proving to be a challenging undertaking.

- 3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]
  - CHIP enrollment has increased over 10 percent for the reporting period. CHIP has seen an increase across all of the different programs in CHIP Free, Subsidized, and At Cost. Families have expressed their satisfaction with the value of the CHIP coverage offered by the CHIP contractors. CHIP implemented an inbound call center May 2016 to provide customer service to Pennsylvanians seeking information on the program. Over 40,552 calls came to the 1-800-986-KIDS toll free number. Application assistance is also available through the call center.
  - CHIP has continued to enjoy broad bi-partisan support. Child advocates are pleased with the comprehensive child-centered benefits and the affordable rates.
- 4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. **[7500]**

CHIP began IT Transition meetings in April of 2016 as we prepare to merge into the Department of Human Services (DHS) platform to gain both technical and financial efficiencies. The current CHIP Application Processing System's (CAPS) contract expires June 30, 2018 and will not be re-procured. CHIP is also evaluating the impact of the CMS Managed Care Rules recently published. Many of these rules will impact both the administration of the program and the CHIP contractors.

Enter any Narrative text related to Section V below. [7500]