



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Human Services
Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services**

2016 Encounter Data Onsite Validation

PerformCare

February 27, 2018

IPRO Corporate Headquarters
Managed Care Department
1979 Marcus Avenue
Lake Success, NY 11042-1002
phone: (516) 326-7767
fax: (516) 326-6177
www.ipro.org

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF TERMS	3
INTRODUCTION	4
ENCOUNTER DATA VALIDATION PROCESS	6
GENERAL INFORMATION	7
ENROLLMENT SYSTEMS	8
CLAIMS/ENCOUNTER SYSTEMS	10
PROMISE SUBMISSION AND RECONCILIATION PROCESS	12
FINDINGS	16
APPENDIX A: INFORMATION SYSTEMS CAPABILITIES ASSESSMENT (ISCA)	18

List of Tables

Table 1: Physical and Behavioral Health Encounter Data Volume.....	4
Table 2: Average Monthly HealthChoices Enrollment by County.....	7
Table 3: Enrollment Data for Members with Derived Effective and Expiration Dates	9
Table 4: Paid and Denied Claims in 2015	10
Table 5: Unique Encounters Submitted to PROMISE with 2015 Dates of Service.....	14
Table 6: Status of Claims Submitted to PROMISE with 2015 Dates of Service	14
Table 7: Denied or Not Yet Accepted Claims as of August 12, 2016.....	14

Glossary of Terms

APA	Alternate payment arrangements (APAs) include any payment arrangement between MCO and its providers other than Fee-for-Service (FFS). Some alternative payment arrangements call for the reporting of zero monetary amounts on the 837 transaction files.
BH Eligibility Slice File	Quarterly eligibility file received by IPRO from Department of Human Services (DHS). The file contains date of birth, county, gender, race, ethnicity, recipient ID#, assistance/aid categories, effective and expiration dates.
BHSRCC	Behavioral Health Services Reporting Classification Chart. OMHSAS updates and distributes the chart to the BH-MCOs semi-annually. The chart assists the BH-MCOs with determining the appropriate coding of services for both financial and encounter data reporting for HealthChoices. The BHSRCC grid is intended to assist the BH-MCOs in establishing edits in their reporting processes. However, it is not in any way intended to limit or expand behavioral health services in the HealthChoices Program. OMHSAS advises the BH-MCOs to keep the previous charts as reference guides.
ICN	Internal Control Number; 13-digit unique identification number assigned to each claim processed in PROMISE.
CIS	DHS's client information system (CIS) that is available to the BH-MCOs to access enrollment information.
ESC	Error Status Code. PROMISE error codes for encounters submitted by BH-MCOs. ESC dispositions are typically set to pay and list or deny, occasionally to super-suspend, which are then recycled by DXC Technology.
MAID	Medical Assistance Identification Number. Assigned to a member by DHS.
PM FUH	Follow-up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness (FUH) performance measure (PM). This 2016 BH PM assesses the percentage of discharges for members six years of age and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders, who were seen on an ambulatory basis or who were in day/night treatment with a mental health provider on the date of discharge up to seven and 30 days after hospital discharge. BH-MCOs are required to submit data files and source code to IPRO. For this measure two separate versions are requested: HEDIS [®] specifications and PA-specific specifications.
PM REA	Readmission Within 30 Days of Inpatient Psychiatric Discharge. This 2016 BH PM assesses the percentage of discharges for enrollees from inpatient acute psychiatric care that are subsequently followed by an inpatient acute psychiatric care readmission within 7 and 30 days of the previous discharge. BH-MCOs are required to submit data files and source code to IPRO.
PROMISE	Provider Reimbursement and Operations Management Information System (in electronic format). DHS's claim processing and management information system provided by DXC Technology stands. PROMISE accepts HIPAA 837 files for claims processing.

Introduction

HealthChoices Behavioral Health (BH) is the mandatory managed care program which provides Medical Assistance recipients with BH services in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA). The PA Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (OMHSAS) contracted with IPRO as its external quality review organization (EQRO) to conduct the 2016 Encounter Data Validation (EDV) onsite reviews and webinars for the HealthChoices BH managed care organizations (BH-MCOs).

Encounter data validation is an ongoing process, involving the MCOs, the state encounter data unit and the EQRO. It includes both a baseline evaluation and ongoing monitoring of submission patterns. The purpose of this monitoring is to identify and resolve issues that arise in the encounter data submission process. In 2013, BH-MCO onsite reviews were conducted as a baseline evaluation of the BH-MCO encounter data units. In the third quarter of 2016 and the first and second quarters of 2017, BH-MCO onsite visits and webinars were conducted as a part of the ongoing monitoring of submission of encounter data to the DHS's claim processing and management information system, Provider Reimbursement and Operations Management Information System (PROMISE).

Since 2005, on a weekly basis, IPRO receives encounter data extracts from PROMISE and loads the files to IPRO's Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) data warehouse (DW). For physical health (PH) encounter data, IPRO loads the PROMISE paid/accepted dental, professional, institutional and pharmacy extracts (**Table 1**). For BH encounter data, IPRO loads the PROMISE paid/accepted professional and institutional data extracts to its DW. Since January 1, 2012, IPRO also loads the PROMISE denied BH encounter data to its DW. As the weekly PH and BH encounter data extracts are loaded into IPRO's DW, IPRO conducts checks on the data elements and volumes received (**Table 1**).

Table 1: Physical and Behavioral Health Encounter Data Volume

Encounter Type	Claim Volume
Physical Health ¹	
Institutional	62,622,327
Professional	208,985,522
Dental	9,586,305
Pharmacy	368,870,836
Behavioral Health ¹	
Institutional	1,593,010
Professional	183,497,799

¹Claim header volume stored in IPRO's data warehouse as of 1/23/2017.

In addition, on a quarterly basis, IPRO receives the PH and BH eligibility slice files from DHS and loads them into IPRO's SAS DW. The BH eligibility slice file contains eligibility and limited demographic information about members, such as date of birth, county, gender, race, ethnicity, recipient ID#, assistance/aid categories, effective and expiration dates.

On a monthly basis, IPRO attends the Encounter Action Team (EAT) technical meetings with DHS, DXC Technology (formerly known as HP), which provides technical discussions on encounter data submission issues, change orders and defect statuses. On a monthly basis, IPRO also attends the technical PROMISE call with DXC Technology, Office of Medical Assistance Programs (OMAP), Mercer and the PH MCOs to discuss encounter data submission status and issues regarding the PH encounter data submissions. IPRO also participates on weekly PH calls with DHS and bi-weekly calls with OMHSAS to discuss BH encounter data validation activities.

During 2016 and 2017, as part of CMS's EDV protocol activities, IPRO reviewed and analyzed each BH-MCO's capability to produce encounter data and their electronic PROMISE submission process for accuracy and completeness. The BH-MCOs were instructed to complete an information systems capabilities assessment (ISCA) tool that IPRO developed based on CMS's ISCA tool developed on 5/1/2002. IPRO analyzed information from the ISCA tool and conducted a one-day onsite review or a four-hour webinar with each BH-MCO.

The ISCA and the EDV onsite visits/webinars focused on the following areas:

- Enrollment systems,
- Claims and encounter systems,
- BH performance measure (PM) development, and
- PROMISe submission and reconciliation process.

Encounter Data Validation Process

The BH-MCOs were requested to complete and return the ISCA tool to IPRO prior to the EDV onsite visit or webinar. IPRO modified the 5/1/2002 version 1.0 ISCA found in CMS's appendix section of the External Quality Review Activity Protocol. IPRO tailored the questions for the BH-MCO, DHS and PROMISe submission process. IPRO also included a section on the BH-MCOs annual performance measure development activities and processes. The purpose of the assessment was to specify the capabilities of the BH-MCO's information systems (IS) and to pose standard questions to be used to assess the strengths of the BH-MCO with respect to these capabilities. The ISCA assisted IPRO to assess the extent to which the BH-MCO's information system is capable of producing valid encounter data, PM member-level data, tracking PROMISe encounter data submissions and other data necessary to support quality assessment and improvement and PM improvement programs.

The ISCA was divided into the following sections:

1. General Information
2. Enrollment Systems
 - a. Enrollment File Loads and Eligibility System(s)
 - b. Enrollment Reporting System
3. Claim Systems
 - a. Claims Types and Volume
 - b. Claims Processing
 - c. Claims Reporting System
4. Reporting
5. PROMISe Submission
 - a. Encounter Data Submission
 - b. Denial and Resubmission Processes

IPRO conducted a one-day onsite visit or a four-hour webinar with each BH-MCO. The purpose of the onsite visits/webinar was:

1. To be able to review the ISCA findings with the appropriate BH-MCO staff, and discuss any outstanding questions regarding the BH-MCO's ISCA responses;
2. To review the BH-MCO's production enrollment, claim/encounter, and PROMISe submission and PM development processes; and
3. To view member and claim examples selected from the 2016 BH Performance Measure HEDIS Follow-up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness (FUH) member-level data files submitted on the BH-MCO's system screens.

General Information

PerformCare, has participated in the BH HealthChoices contract since 2001. In 2016, PerformCare continued to service nine counties for the HealthChoices product line. Their total average enrollment in 2015 was 222,218 members (**Table 2**).

The 2016 EDV onsite visit was held in PerformCare's offices in Harrisburg, PA on February 28, 2017. OMHSAS and IPRO attended the onsite visit. PerformCare and PerformCare contractor, Allan Collaunt Associates, Inc. (ACA) also participated on the onsite visit via telephone.

Table 2 lists the PA BH counties where PerformCare enrolled members during 2015 and the average monthly number of HealthChoices members enrolled for the period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015:

Table 2: Average Monthly HealthChoices Enrollment by County

BH-MCO County Name	Average Monthly Enrollment in 2015
Cumberland	23,765
Dauphin	51,833
Lancaster	74,397
Lebanon	21,635
Perry	5,857
Franklin	21,585
Fulton	2,508
Bedford	8,689
Somerset	11,949
Total	222,218

During 2016, PerformCare prepared for a major system conversion with an implementation date of January 1, 2017. PerformCare transitioned their primary care management and claim processing systems. On December 12, 2016, PerformCare finalized the transition from InfoMC eCura® platform to ZeOmega's Jiva™ care management and case management system. On January 1, 2017, PerformCare transitioned from InfoMC eCura® to Trizetto's Facets™ claims processing system. PerformCare completed the ISCA based on the eCura® system.

Enrollment Systems

PerformCare's primary source of enrollment information is the 834 Daily Eligibility File received from OMHSAS. These files communicate information about newly eligible members, updated demographic information, changed or terminated eligibility for existing members, and negated or deleted eligibility for members previously thought to be eligible. This information is extracted from the daily eligibility files and applied to the ACA's MASTRR™ Monitor application to process the 834 files. All files are uploaded into the MASTRR™ Monitor application through an automated process. ACA generates proprietary eligibility files with new or updated eligibility and demographic information for each member. PerformCare uploads the proprietary eligibility files to InfoMC's eCura® integrated information system. The eCura® information system is a software package offered by InfoMC for eligibility and claim processing.

PerformCare also utilizes the following files to validate and supplement the 834 daily eligibility file:

1. 834 Monthly Eligibility File: File provides a snapshot of all members projected to be eligible as of the first day of the month following receipt of the file. The monthly eligibility files are used to identify and terminate members for whom a termination record was never communicated on an 834 daily file.
2. 820 Monthly Capitation File: File is the primary source used to terminate members. If a member does not appear on this file, they are terminated on PerformCare's eligibility system.
3. Monthly TPL File: This file contains new, changed and deleted third party liability (TPL) information.

PerformCare response: 820 Monthly Capitation File: File is used to terminate member eligibility. If an apparently eligible member does not appear on this file, they are manually researched on CIS. If the member is found to be ineligible on CIS, an ACA data analyst manually terminates the member. The termination is communicated to PerformCare in the next batch of eligibility files.

ACA uploads the 834 daily eligibility file upon receipt through an automated process. A set of daily proprietary eligibility files are generated and transferred to PerformCare. Eligibility information may also be updated manually by a data analyst under the following circumstances: 1) an error is identified requiring manual intervention (typically occurs less than once a week); 2) upon receipt of an inquiry from a PerformCare business systems analyst (occurs several times a week); and 3) following a monthly 820 premium payment file upload which reveals discrepancies between eligibility and capitation data.

PerformCare's primary source of enrollment data is the eCura® Membership module. Members in HealthChoices are assigned a single recipient identification number (Recipient ID) by DHS. PerformCare assigns a unique patient identification number (Patient ID) to the member record. An individual may be assigned to more than one Recipient ID number by DHS in the event of an adoption. If a member is assigned two Recipient ID, the eCura® Membership module may assign two unique Patient IDs to the member. Care managers work directly with the member or their family to identify if a member is assigned two Patient IDs. Upon identification, the two patient ID records are merged into one record, and the history is preserved in the member's history.

PerformCare enrolls members on the effective date included on the 834 daily enrollment files. PerformCare disenrolls members typically on the last day of the month. Members that are not disenrolled on the last day of the month may be due to the member being transferred to a facility such as juvenile detention center or long term care facility. PerformCare may also disenroll a member on the date of death that is included on the 834 daily and monthly enrollment files.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare enrolls members on the effective date derived from dates communicated in the 834 daily enrollment files. PerformCare disenrolls members on their MA eligibility and/or BH plan eligibility end date, typically on the last day of the month.

PerformCare utilizes a relational database for enrollment data reporting. The database is refreshed weekly by SQL Server Integration Services (SSIS) packages that extracts data from the production database and loads the member, provider, claims, and payment data into a separate reporting data warehouse.

During the onsite visit, IPRO requested and PerformCare demonstrated their enrollment system data entry, enrollment history and demographic screens.

As part of the EDV process, IPRO compared the 2016 FUH PM member-level data to data in the BH paid/accepted PROMISE DW tables maintained by IPRO. IPRO also compared the enrollment information of the members included on the 2016 FUH PM member-level file to IPRO's BH Eligibility DW.

Prior to the EDV onsite visit, IPRO compared the members included in the 2016 FUH PM member-level data file to IPRO's BH eligibility data. IPRO utilized the enrollment data to verify and flag any members that were not enrolled with PerformCare on the discharge date or were enrolled with a different BH-MCO on the discharge date. IPRO indicated that there were zero member records with enrollment discrepancy. All members in the PerformCare's 2016 FUH PM member-level data file were enrolled on the discharge date. IPRO selected two member records to review during the onsite visit. The following data elements were reviewed during the EDV onsite visit on PerformCare's enrollment system for the two members: recipient ID#, date of birth, last and first name and enrollment and disenrollment dates for 2015.

During the onsite visit, IPRO requested and PerformCare demonstrated their enrollment system data entry, enrollment history and demographic screens.

The following observations were made:

- Member last and first name: IPRO was not able to confirm member last and first name in IPRO's DW, since the information is not available in the quarterly BH Eligibility Slice File. The name on the 2016 FUH PM member-level data file matched the name in PerformCare's enrollment system for the two records.
- Date of birth: IPRO was able to confirm that the date of birth on PerformCare's enrollment system matched the date of birth on IPRO's BH Eligibility DW for the two members.
- Enrollment history: The effective and expiration dates from PerformCare's enrollment system matched the dates on IPRO's BH Eligibility DW.

Prior to the EDV onsite visit, IPRO also identified three members found on the 2016 FUH PM member-level data file whose recipient identification number did not match the recipient identification number received by IPRO on the PROMISE institutional encounters. IPRO selected the two member records to review and verify member enrollment data during the onsite visit. During the EDV onsite visit, it was identified that the change in recipient identification number was associated with adoption for the two members. **Table 3** illustrates an example of the enrollment records for one adopted member. The two children's recipient identification number was changed by PerformCare in their enrollment system after the 834 daily eligibility file reflected the adoption. PerformCare's enrollment system retains the original recipient identification number, the historical enrollment and claims data for the member and links the two enrollment records.

Table 3 lists the enrollment data for the adopted member received by IPRO for the period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015.

Table 3: Enrollment Data for Adopted Member

Member	Recipient ID#	Effective Date	Expiration Date
A	1	1/1/2015	1/4/2015
		1/5/2015	1/20/2015
	2	2/1/2015	3/31/2015
		4/1/2015	6/30/2015
		7/1/2015	9/30/2015
		10/1/2015	12/31/2015

Claims/Encounter Systems

PerformCare receives and processes claims¹ from providers in three different modes: 837 files from providers, direct online entry via PerformCare’s web-based connection for providers to eCura® (ProviderConnect), and HCFA1500 and UB04 paper claims. Approximately 1.2 million claims with a date of service in 2015 were received and processed as of the date of the onsite visit. Approximately 80% of PerformCare’s claims are received electronically and 20% via paper.

Once a claim is received, PerformCare performs a series of validation checks through the eCura® system based on the rules established by the HCFA1500 and UB04 forms’ completion guidelines for paper submission and for electronic claims submission, compliance with HIPAA 5010 implementation guide standards. eCura® system validation include checks for CPT, revenue codes, diagnosis codes, type of bill, provider types, and place of service (POS) codes. PerformCare denies any claim that is submitted with a data element that does not exist in the reference tables. Validation checks include checking of member eligibility and other primary insurance, if applicable. PerformCare denies or rejects claims submitted for a non-eligible member. If the member is covered by another primary insurer, PerformCare denies the claim if appropriate coverage is found for the service being billed.

PerformCare does not allow original claims data to be changed by the claims processors. If a corrected paper claim is required, it is received from the provider.

PerformCare monitors the overall standard regarding timely processing of claims and results are reported regularly in meetings with OMHSAS. Based on PerformCare’s ISCA response, 90% of all ‘clean claims’ are processed within 30 days, 100% of ‘clean claims’ are processed within 45 days, and 100% of all claims are processed within 90 days. PerformCare adjudicates claims on a nightly basis. Approximately 75% to 80% of PerformCare’s clean claims are auto-adjudicated . PerformCare does not pend any claims. Based on the PerformCare’s ISCA response, approximately 95% of their institutional and professional claims are processed within three months after the close of a reporting period.

Random checks on claim examiners are conducted on a weekly and monthly and basis, 100% of claims processed by new hires are audited for the first 30 days of employment. PerformCare audits 100% of high dollar amount claims on a daily basis. PerformCare utilizes a threshold of \$50,000 for outpatient claims and \$100,000 for inpatient claims for high dollar amount claims.

A claim received for a BH professional service performed during a PH inpatient stay is paid by PerformCare only for applicable psychiatric consultations that are generally conducted on Medical-Surgery units.

Table 4 presents the number of claims paid or denied in 2015.

Table 4: Paid and Denied Claims in 2015

Type of Claim/Encounter	Claims Paid	Claims Denied
Institutional	12,974	2,597
Professional	1,139,080	78,813

As per PerformCare’s contract with providers, a provider can submit claims within 60 days following the date of service for the Capital Area contract that includes Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Lebanon and Perry counties and Tuscarora Managed Care Alliance (TCMA) contract that includes Franklin and Fulton counties. A provider can submit claims within 90 days following the date of service for the BH Services of Somerset and Bedford counties BHSSBC contract. Claims involving TPL must be submitted within 365 days of the date of service and no more than 60 days after the Explanation of Benefits (EOB) date. In cases where a resubmission of a claim is required to correct a claim that has already been paid, the claim must be resubmitted within 180 days of the remittance notice date.

PerformCare uses the eCura® Management Information System with MS SQL Server 2008 database for encounter data reporting purposes. Each week the encounter data reporting data warehouse is refreshed with data from the

¹ For the purposes of this report, the word “claim” is used to represent both claim and encounter data.

production database by the SSIS. Membership data in the reporting data warehouse is refreshed on a daily basis. PerformCare verifies the completeness of the data loaded to the reporting data warehouse by performing control checks such as verifying the counts of members to ensure that all members in the Facets™ are loaded to the reporting data warehouse. PerformCare indicated that about 90% of the data elements captured in Facets™ were copied over to the reporting data warehouse. PerformCare stores claims and encounter data in their reporting system from the inception of their contract with DHS in 2001. As of January 1, 2017, all membership and claims data from October 1, 2001 were loaded to Facets™ and were available in the new data repository. In Facets™, PerformCare stores the race and ethnicity code values as received on the 834 daily eligibility file. Prior to the transition to Facets™, during the import to eCura® PerformCare mapped the 834 daily eligibility file race and ethnicity values to acceptable eCura® system codes. PerformCare indicated that out of 3 million claims in eCura® all claims except for about 20,000 claims have been loaded to Facets™. The 20,000 claims that have not been loaded to Facets™ can be viewed on the eCura®.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare used the production eCura® Management Information System with MS SQL Server 2008 database for encounter data reporting purposes in 2016. Membership data is loaded on a daily basis to production eCura. Several times each week the reporting data warehouse is refreshed with data from the production database by the SSIS. This includes all claims, membership and provider data. PerformCare verifies the completeness of the data loaded to the reporting data warehouse by performing control checks such as verifying the counts of members to ensure that all members in eCura are loaded to the reporting data warehouse. PerformCare indicated that nearly all of the data elements captured in Facets™ in 2017 were copied over to the reporting data warehouse. PerformCare stores claims and encounter data in their reporting system from the inception of their contract with DHS in 2001. As of January 1, 2017, all membership data from October 1, 2001 and all claims data with dates of service from July 1, 2014 were loaded to Facets™ and were available in the new data repository. As of 2017, PerformCare uses data from the Facets system instead of eCura for encounter reporting.

PROMISE Submission and Reconciliation Process

PerformCare contracts with ACA for data management services that include post adjudication claim review and the generation of 837 encounters for submission to PROMISE. PerformCare extracts the claims from the encounter data reporting data warehouse on a bi-weekly basis and sends them to ACA for scrubbing and submission to PROMISE. ACA creates the 837 encounter data files and submits them to DHS.

Prior to submission, All Patients Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (APR-DRG), Medical Severity (MS-DRG), revenue, procedure, modifier and POS codes are cross walked to a code based on the Behavioral Health Services Reporting Classification Chart (BHSRCC) grid in order to pass PROMISE validation. The BHSRCC specifies outdated Version 23 APS DRG code values. Therefore, any DRG codes received from providers utilizing more current DRG coding schemes including APR DRGs must be converted to comply with 700 series edits. Provider ID is mapped to '888888888' for providers that are not enrolled in Pennsylvania's Medicaid program. PerformCare always submits POS code '99' in the header record on all professional claims submitted to PROMISE. PerformCare sets the POS code to the POS reported by the provider on professional service lines submitted to PROMISE. The third digit of the bill type code is the claim frequency code. What is sent in encounters must reflect what is relative to PROMISE and not what was relative to eCura so that PROMISE can interpret each day of the stay correctly. The 837 file processing specifically allows for a system to split claims and when doing so, the claim frequency code sent on the encounter must be adjusted to accurately reflect the portion of the stay on the split encounter.

The claim frequency type code is derived by PerformCare prior to PROMISE submission using the following logic:

- If the claim is an original:
 - Claim Frequency Code set to 1 when the member was determined to be discharged from the hospital and there were no additional claims associated with the inpatient stay.
 - Claim Frequency Code set to 2 when the member was determined not to have been discharged from the hospital and claim is identified as being the first claim, subsequent interim bill claim also identified for the inpatient stay.
 - Claim Frequency Code set to 3 when the member was determined not to have been discharged from the hospital and the claim is identified as being a subsequent interim bill claim for the inpatient stay.
 - Else the Claim Frequency Code is set to 4, the claim is identified as the last interim claim for the inpatient stay
- If the claim is a void or an adjustment, the Claim Frequency code is not changed and the information provided on the claim is submitted.

PerformCare implemented a process of modifying the admission and discharge dates on the institutional service lines, to ensure that the dates are included on the header level, to address PROMISE rejections of institutional encounters for which the inpatient stay span included periods of BH HealthChoices contract (BHC) ineligibility.

PerformCare submits all MCO-paid and MCO-denied claims to PROMISE, with the exception of claims that are denied by the MCO for the following reasons:

- Duplicate Claim,
- Keying/Processing Error,
- Duplication of Service - Non-Billable,
- Service will be processed on a separate claim,
- Diagnosis does not correspond to authorized,
- Invalid Diagnosis Effective Date,
- Invalid Diagnosis/Sex Combination,
- Service not payable for Primary Diagnosis,
- Invalid Admission Diagnosis/Age Combination,
- Invalid Admission Diagnosis Effective Date, and
- Invalid Admission Diagnosis/Sex Combination.

PerformCare submits to PROMISE the MCO-denied claims that are denied due to the following denial reason codes:

- Approved authorization not on file,
- TPL,
- Exhausted benefit, and
- Timely filing

Since mid-2015, PerformCare submits all denied service lines on professional encounters to PROMISE. PerformCare denies a service line and does not submit the service line information to PROMISE if PerformCare is unable to map to a valid service category based on the combination of provider type, provider specialty, procedure, revenue and diagnosis codes on the encounter. PerformCare transmits paid encounters to ACA for inclusion in the PROMISE submission. ACA performs an eligibility check to ensure the member is enrolled on the date of service prior to submitting to PROMISE, encounters for members who may have lost eligibility since adjudication will be identified. Encounters that fail the eligibility check are identified for correction and are excluded from the PROMISE submissions.

PerformCare Response:

- *PerformCare has always submitted all service lines on an encounter to PROMISE when the line is paid. Since mid-2015, PerformCare also submits all denied service lines when it is a denial reason that PROMISE will accept.*
- *PerformCare transmits paid encounters along with denied encounters that will pass PROMISE edits to ACA for inclusion in the PROMISE submission. Among other post-adjudication edits, ACA performs an eligibility check to ensure the member is enrolled on the date of service prior to submitting to PROMISE, encounters for members who may have lost eligibility since adjudication will be identified.*

In order to modify or correct information on an encounter that has been submitted and accepted by PROMISE, PerformCare submits a void record to PROMISE and then submits a new encounter representing the revised or corrected information.

PerformCare submits each professional claim to PROMISE as a new ICN with service lines included on the detail level. Similarly, each institutional claim is submitted to PROMISE as a new ICN with the service lines included on the detail level.

PerformCare only submits the service lines with accommodation codes on institutional inpatient claims to PROMISE. Ancillary charges that are not included in the BHSRCC are not included on the submission to PROMISE. Until mid-2015, PerformCare did not submit service lines on professional encounters that were denied due to non-covered services that were mapped to Claim Adjustment Reason Code (CARC) '96'.

PerformCare has automated the extraction of the 837 I and 837 P files and the processing of the U277 response files. The system generates a file content summary report upon processing of the U277 response files and reviewed manually by an ACA data analyst. Error review, investigation and corrections are performed manually by an ACA data analyst.

PerformCare identifies all PROMISE-rejected encounters for correction and resubmission. PerformCare reviews the rejection reason based on the PROMISE error code received in the U277 file. If the error is determined as valid and can be addressed by resubmission of the encounters then the encounters are marked for resubmission along with the next batch of 837 files to PROMISE. If the error is determined as valid and requires one or more data elements to be updated, the corresponding data elements in the encounter are updated and the encounter is marked for resubmission along with the next batch of 837 files to PROMISE. If the error is determined as valid and requires re-adjudication of the claim then an adjusted version of the encounter is submitted to PROMISE. If PerformCare is unable to determine the reason for the rejection based on the PROMISE error code then PerformCare contacts OMHSAS for additional information regarding the error on the encounter record. If OMHSAS provides the reason for the error then the encounter is revised and resubmitted to PROMISE. If OMHSAS identifies that the error was due to a known PROMISE encounter processing issue then the encounter is resubmitted in the next batch of 837 files with the anticipation that the encounter will be accepted by PROMISE when the issue is corrected in the PROMISE system.

PerformCare’s ISCA response indicated that claims with a date of service in 2015 were submitted to PROMISE within 19 business days after claim adjudication.

According to PerformCare’s ISCA response, claims with a date of service in 2015, PerformCare submitted the claims presented in **Table 5** to PROMISE as of August 9, 2016.

Table 5: Unique Encounters Submitted to PROMISE with 2015 Dates of Service

Type of Claim	Number of Claims with 2015 Dates of Service as of 8/9/2016
Institutional	13,908
Professional	1,245,059
Total	1,258,967

PerformCare indicated the status for the 1,258,967 claims that were submitted to PROMISE with date of service in 2015 (**Table 6**) as follows:

1. Accepted by PROMISE on first submission;
2. Denied by PROMISE on the first submission, and accepted on resubmission; and
3. Denied by PROMISE on the first submission, and not yet accepted.

Table 6: Status of Claims Submitted to PROMISE with 2015 Dates of Service

Type of Claim	Accepted		Denied, Accepted on Resubmission		Denied, Not Yet Accepted		Total
	#	% of Total ¹	#	% of Total ¹	#	% of Total ¹	
Institutional	13,748	99%	116	1%	44	0%	13,908
Professional	1,217,824	98%	20,867	2%	6,368	1%	1,245,059

¹ Percentages might not add up to 100% due to rounding.

A comparison of the PROMISE “denied, not yet accepted” encounters to the total encounters with date of service in 2015 submitted to PROMISE indicates a less than 1% PROMISE denial rate for institutional encounters and a 1% PROMISE denial rate for professional encounters (**Table 6**).

PerformCare has identified that four institutional and 609 professional claims were denied by PROMISE or are awaiting resubmission as of March 9, 2017 (**Table 7**).

Table 7: Denied or Not Yet Accepted Claims as of March 9, 2017

Claim Type	Denied or Not Yet Accepted Claims
Institutional	4
Professional	609

OMHSAS updates and distributes the BHSRCC grid to the BH-MCOs semi-annually. The chart assists the BH-MCOs with determining the appropriate coding of services for both financial and encounter data reporting for HealthChoices. The BHSRCC grid is intended to assist the BH-MCOs in establishing edits in their reporting processes. However, it is not in any way intended to limit or expand BH services in the HealthChoices Program. PerformCare maps APR-DRG, MS-DRG, procedure codes, modifier codes and revenue codes prior to PROMISE submission. PerformCare adjusts the statement begin and end dates of institutional encounters to ensure that they do not exceed the service date span on the encounters. For encounters with truncated statement end dates, PerformCare also modifies the patient discharge status code to '30', indicating not discharged, if the patient discharge status code on the encounter indicated a discharge.

PerformCare Response:

- *The BHSRCC requires the translation of current DRG code values received on the claim to those allowed by PROMISE*
- *The rationale for this practice was to eliminate non-covered days from the statement date span to avoid PROMISE rejections due to ineligibility.*
- *PerformCare reports that statement date modifications were applied to a total of 205 institutional encounters in CY 2016 of which 123 were accompanied by modifications to discharge status code.*

PerformCare indicated that they submit up to 13 diagnosis codes to PROMISE for institutional encounters due to an 80 character limit set by ACA. Based on the quarterly diagnosis code frequency report generated from the IPRO's BH PROMISE accepted/paid institutional DW tables, IPRO noted that PerformCare submits up to 18 diagnosis codes as of the last quarter of 2016 on institutional encounters to PROMISE. PerformCare submits up to nine diagnosis codes on professional encounters to PROMISE.

Prior to the EDV onsite visit, IPRO compared the PROMISE ICNs included in the denominator and numerator of the 2016 FUH PM member-level data file to IPRO's BH PROMISE accepted/paid institutional and professional DW tables.

IPRO selected two institutional PROMISE ICN records and four professional ICN records with discrepancies to review on PerformCare's claim system for accuracy during the EDV onsite visit. The following data elements were reviewed during the EDV onsite review on PerformCare's claim system: recipient ID, admission and discharge dates, dates of service, diagnosis codes, revenue codes, UB type of bill code, hospital/provider ID number, place of service (POS), patient discharge status codes and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes.

The following observations were noted during the EDV onsite review of the two inpatient and four professional records:

- **Hospital/Provider ID:** prior to the submission of institutional encounters to PROMISE, the provider ID is mapped to '888888888' for providers that are not enrolled in Pennsylvania's Medicaid program.
- **Type of Bill:** prior to submission of institutional encounters to PROMISE, PerformCare derives the Claim Frequency Type Code, which represents the third digit in the type of bill, and modifies the type of bill value prior to PROMISE submission.
- **Diagnosis codes:** PerformCare indicated that they submit up to 13 valid diagnosis codes on institutional encounters to PROMISE from October 16, 2015 onwards, subject to an 80 character limit.
- **Discharge Status code:** prior to the submission of institutional encounters to PROMISE, PerformCare maps the patient discharge status code to '30' indicating not discharged, if the incoming patient discharge status code on the claim indicated a discharge but the statement end date was truncated, PerformCare truncates the statement end date if it exceeds the service date span.
- **POS code:** PerformCare submits institutional outpatient claims as professional encounters to PROMISE on an 837P extract based on the facility type code. If the Facility type code is '13' or '14' then the following values at the claim header level: Encounter Type = 'P'; Discharge Type = '00'; POS = '99'; Admit Type = '0'; Admit Date = Null; Admit Hour = '0000'; Discharge Hour = '0000'; Statement Begin Date = Null; Statement End Date = 'Null'; Admit Source = '0'. At the claim line level, the POS is assigned '22'.
- **Procedure code:** prior to the PROMISE submission of professional encounters, the procedure code is mapped to a code on PerformCare's internal crosswalk table that is based on the BHSRCC grid.
- **Date of Service:** until the first half of 2015, PerformCare excluded the encounter service lines from PROMISE submissions that were denied due to CARC code '96' indicating a non-covered service.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare started sending denials mid 2015 if the CARC can be accepted by PROMISE.

Findings

Based on the 2016 EDV activities, responses provided by the MCO on the ISCA and the EDV onsite review, IPRO found the following strengths, opportunities for improvement and corrective action requests.

Strengths

- PerformCare is able to link any member when a change in a member identification number is found on the 834 daily eligibility file. In cases of adoption, PerformCare is able to link the adopted member records and the multiple identification numbers associated with the adopted child.
- PerformCare has less than 1% PROMISE denial rate for institutional encounters and a 1% PROMISE denial rate for professional encounters for encounters submitted to PROMISE with date of service in 2015. PerformCare's process of identifying and resolving PROMISE rejections has resulted in very low PROMISE denial rate. PerformCare submits each professional claim to PROMISE as a new ICN with service lines included on the detail level. Similarly, each institutional claim is submitted to PROMISE as a new ICN with the service lines included on the detail level.

Opportunities for Improvement

- Consistent with the language contained in the HealthChoices Behavioral Health Agreement(s), providers of behavioral health services are required to comply with all federal and state laws, specifically governing participation in the MA Program, etc. and PerformCare is required to avoid the use of encounter data containing all "88888888's". PerformCare must utilize the PRV414 file to determine if the provider is enrolled in Medicaid. If the provider is not enrolled in Medicaid then PerformCare must work with the provider to enroll in Medicaid.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare submitted a total of 106 such encounters with service dates in calendar year (CY) 2016. In 2017, PerformCare already implemented additional validations to ensure the encounter process will map to all 8's only when the provider is out of state. PerformCare will credential providers who are enrolled in an out of state Medicaid program when it is necessary for Member care. PerformCare will need to continue to use all 8's in such situations because Pennsylvania does not enroll Medicaid providers from other states into their program

Corrective Action Needed

- PerformCare needs to provide a plan of action demonstrating PerformCare's intent to include the type of bill code as received on the claim on institutional encounters submitted to PROMISE. PerformCare derives the third digit of the bill type based on internal logic.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare is not in error when it derives the third digit of the bill type which is the claim frequency code. Reasons for this action include:

- 1) *PerformCare may need to split the encounter due to periods of ineligibility or non-covered days which is allowed under HIPAA. When an encounter is split the claim frequency code must be adjusted because the claim frequency code is not intended to be evaluated independently. The discharge status code and the statement dates must also be taken into consideration. If PerformCare did not adjust the claim frequency code, the encounter would not accurately reflect what was originally received by the provider.*
- 2) *The claim frequency code must be reflective of the frequency in which the receiving system is in receipt of the encounter and not the system sending the encounter. The claim frequency code communicates the frequency of the encounter submission and whether the encounter is being replaced or voided. PerformCare reports the claim frequency code necessary for PROMISE to accurately interpret the status of the patient on each day of the stay.*

PerformCare submits valid diagnosis codes to PROMISE that are within an 80 character limit. Due to the 80 character limit, PerformCare does not submit all diagnosis codes on an encounter to PROMISE. PerformCare needs to provide a plan of action demonstrating PerformCare's intent to submit all diagnosis codes to PROMISE.

PerformCare Response: PerformCare identified this issue prior to the IPRO on site visit, as discussed during the onsite interview process. Changes were in motion with portions completed prior to the visit and the final changes were

completed in May 2017. As of May 2017, all encounters have all the diagnosis codes submitted. This can be verified by looking at encounters in PROMISE.

Appendix A: Information Systems Capabilities Assessment (ISCA)



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Human Services
Office of Mental Health and Substance
Abuse Services**

**2016
Information Systems Capabilities Assessment
For
Behavioral Health Managed Care Organizations**

07/21/2016

IPRO Corporate Headquarters
Managed Care Department
1979 Marcus Avenue
Lake Success, NY 11042-1002
phone: (516) 326-7767
fax: (516) 326-6177
www.ipro.org

REPORT CONTENT

Introduction.....	p. 3
I. General Information.....	p. 4
II. Enrollment Systems.....	p. 7
III. Claims Systems.....	p. 12
IV. Reporting.....	p. 20
V. PROMISe Submissions.....	p. 25
Requested Material.....	p. 29

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE OF THE ASSESSMENT

The Pennsylvania Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (OMHSAS) has partnered with its External Quality Review Organization; the Island Peer Review Organization (IPRO) to conduct a second Behavioral Health (BH) Managed Care Organization (MCO) system and process review. One component of this effort is for OMHSAS and IPRO to survey the BH HealthChoices (BHHC) (i.e., Medicaid managed behavioral health care) BH-MCOs Information Systems (IS).

Encounter data validation is an ongoing process, involving the Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), the State encounter data unit and the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). It includes both a baseline evaluation and ongoing monitoring of submission patterns. The purpose of this monitoring is to identify, resolve and follow-up on issues that arose in the 2013 BH-MCO onsite, identified since the 2013 BH-MCO onsite or during the 2014 and 2015 BH Performance Measure(PM) validation.

Knowledge of the capabilities of a BH-MCO's information system is essential to effectively and efficiently:

- Validate BH-MCO encounter data,
- Calculate or validate BH-MCO Performance Measures (PM), and
- Assess a BH-MCO's capacity to manage the health care of its enrollees
- Review the BH-MCOs PROMISe encounter data process

The purpose of this assessment is to specify the desired capabilities of the BH-MCO's information system, and to pose standard questions to be used to assess the strength of a BH-MCO with respect to these capabilities. This will assist an External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) to assess the extent to which a BH-MCO's information system is capable of producing valid encounter data, performance measures, tracking PROMISe encounter data submissions and other data necessary to support quality assessment and improvement, as well as managing the care delivered to its enrollees.

This assessment is divided into five sections

- I. General Information
- II. Enrollment Systems
- III. Claim Systems
- IV. Reporting
- V. PROMISe Submissions

Please complete the assessment below and return to IPRO by **08/26/2016**. Please include any relevant attachments requested in the assessment. The completed assessment should be posted to IPRO's FTP site under the ED\ISCA\ sub-folder. Please send an email to Mary Dramitinos (mdramitinos@ipro.org) advising the completed assessment has been posted.

This assessment will be followed by a conference call or a one-day onsite visit. A conference call will consist of further questions and review of processes. An onsite visit will consist of a detailed review of the following:

- Completed Information Systems Capabilities Assessment
- Enrollment systems
- Claims systems
- BH-MCOs PROMISe encounter data submission process

If you have any questions regarding this assessment, please contact Mary Dramitinos (mdramitinos@ipro.org)

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Please provide the following general information:

1. Contact Information

Please enter the identification information for the primary contact for this assessment.

BH-MCO Name:	Click here to enter text.
Contact Name and Title:	Click here to enter text.
Mailing address:	Click here to enter text.
Phone number:	Click here to enter text.
Fax number:	Click here to enter text.
E-mail address:	Click here to enter text.

2. Managed Care Model Type (Please check one, or specify other.)

MCO-staff model MCO-group model MCO-IPA model MCO-mixed model

Other - specify: Click here to enter text.

3. Number of years with BHHC membership in Pennsylvania (PA): Click here to enter text.

4. Average monthly BHHC enrollment for the last three years.

BHHC Enrollment	2013	2014	2015
January	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
February	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
March	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
April	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
May	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
June	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
July	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
August	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
September	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
October	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
November	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
December	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

5. List the PA BH-Counties where your BH-MCO provided BHHC enrollment in 2015:

BH-MCO County Name	BH-MCO County Name
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

6. Average monthly BHHC enrollment by PA BH-Counties in 2015:

BH-MCO County Name	Average Monthly BHHC Enrollment
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

7. What is the name of the enrollment or eligibility system: Click here to enter text.

8. What is the name of the claim processing system: Click here to enter text.

II. ENROLLMENT SYSTEMS

Enrollment File Loads and Eligibility System(s)

1. For each enrollment file provided by OMHSAS that your BH-MCO uses to populate your eligibility system, provide the file name, how often the file is received, the contents of the file (adds, changes and or deletes), and also describe how the file is used to populate the enrollment system.

Filename: Click here to enter text.	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly, monthly): Click here to enter text.	Indicate whether file contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

Filename: Click here to enter text.	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly, monthly): Click here to enter text.	Indicate whether file contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

Filename: Click here to enter text.	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly, monthly): Click here to enter text.	Indicate whether file contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

Filename: Click here to enter text.	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly, monthly): Click here to enter text.	Indicate whether file contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

Filename: Click here to enter text.	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly, monthly): Click here to enter text.	Indicate whether file contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

Filename: Click here to	Frequency of receipt (daily, weekly,	Indicate whether file
--------------------------------	---	------------------------------

enter text.	monthly): Click here to enter text.	contains: Adds (A), Changes(C), Deletes (D): Click here to enter text.
Describe how this file is used to populate eligibility system: Click here to enter text.		

2. Please describe the process that your BH-MCO uses to populate your enrollment system from the files listed above. Attach any applicable process diagrams, flowcharts, etc.
 Click here to enter text.

3. Please describe how BHHC eligibility is updated, how frequently and who has “change” authority.
 Click here to enter text.

4. What software/programming language is used to load the enrollment file(s) into your eligibility system?
 Click here to enter text.

5. Does the program provide reports of records unable to be loaded? YES NO

7. If yes, please describe the process used to determine how these records are handled. (Include attachments if necessary)
 Click here to enter text.

8. Describe the controls used to assure all BHHC enrollment data entered into the system is fully accounted for. (Include report examples, process flowcharts, etc. as necessary)
 Click here to enter text.

9. What is the process for version control when the enrollment loading program code is revised?
 Click here to enter text.

10. How does your BH-MCO uniquely identify enrollees?
 Click here to enter text.

11. How does your BH-MCO handle enrollee disenrollment and re-enrollment in the BHHC product line?
 Does the member retain the same ID?
 Click here to enter text.

12. Can your eligibility system track enrollees who switch from one product line (e.g., HealthChoices Behavioral Health, commercial plan, Medicare, FFS?) to another? Yes No

13. Can your eligibility system track enrollees who switch from one BH-County to another?
 Yes No

14. Can your BH-MCO track an enrollee's initial enrollment date with your BH-MCO or is a new enrollment date assigned when a member enrolls in a new product line?
[Click here to enter text.](#)
15. Can your BH-MCO track previous claim/encounter data or are you unable to link previous claim/encounter data across product lines?
[Click here to enter text.](#)
16. Under what circumstances, if any, can a BHHC member exist under more than one identification number within your BH-MCO's information management systems? Under what circumstances, if any, can a member's identification number change?
[Click here to enter text.](#)
17. How does your BH-MCO enroll and track newborns born to an existing BHHC enrollee?
[Click here to enter text.](#)
18. When a member is enrolled in HealthChoices Behavioral Health, does the enrollment always start on the same date (i.e. the first day of the month)? Describe any situations where a member would not be enrolled on that date.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
19. When a member is disenrolled in HealthChoices Behavioral Health, does the enrollment always end on the same date (i.e. the last day of the month)? Describe any situations where a member would be disenrolled on another date.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
20. How is your BH-MCO notified of a death or termination? Please describe.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
21. How is your BH-MCO notified of a newborn? Please describe.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
22. Please describe how your BH-MCO provides eligibility information to your providers?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

Enrollment Reporting System

23. What data base management system(s) (DBMS) do/does your BH-MCO use to BHHC enrollment data for reporting purposes? Are all members stored in the BH-MCO's membership system available for reporting purposes?
[Click here to enter text.](#)
24. How would you characterize this/these DBMSs?

- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| A. Relational | <input type="checkbox"/> | E. Network | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Hierarchical | <input type="checkbox"/> | F. Flat File | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Indexed | <input type="checkbox"/> | G. Proprietary | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| D. Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | H. Don't Know | <input type="checkbox"/> |

25. Describe the process that is used to populate your reporting DBMS(s). Include process flowcharts as needed

[Click here to enter text.](#)

26. What software/programming language is used to load the enrollment files into your reporting system?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

27. Describe the controls used to assure all BHHC enrollment data entered into the reporting system is fully accounted for. (Include report examples, process flowcharts, etc. as necessary)

[Click here to enter text.](#)

28. What is the process for version control when the enrollment loading program code is revised?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

29. How frequently is your enrollment DBMS(s) updated?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

30. Are members with dual BHHC and Medicare eligibility able to be identified in your enrollment reporting system? If so, describe how they are identified and the process used to ensure the correct members are identified.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

31. How does your BH-MCO identify and count BHHC member months? BHHC member years?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

32. How does your BH-MCO identify BHHC member disabilities? Programs Status Codes? Assistance Categories? Please describe how changes are tracked.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

33. Please indicate which Race and Ethnicity values your BH-MCO stores:

Race	Yes/No	Ethnicity	Yes/No
01-African American	Choose an item.	01-Non-Hispanic	Choose an item.
02-Hispanic	Choose an item.	02-Hispanic	Choose an item.
03-America Indian or Alaskan Native	Choose an item.	03-Missing or Not Available	Choose an item.
04-Asian	Choose an item.		
05-White	Choose an item.		
06-Other or Not Volunteered	Choose an item.		
07-Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Choose an item.		

Section Contact: Who is responsible for completing this section:

Contact Name and Title:	Click here to enter text.
Mailing address:	Click here to enter text.
Phone number:	Click here to enter text.
Fax number:	Click here to enter text.
E-mail address:	Click here to enter text.

III. CLAIMS SYSTEMS

Claims Types and Volume

1. Does your BH-MCO use standard claims or encounter forms for the following? If yes, please specify (e.g., CMS1500, UB 92)

Data Source	Yes/No	If yes, please specify
Institutional	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Other	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.

2. Please document whether the following data elements (data fields) are required by your BH-MCO for providers, for each of the types of BHHC claims/encounters identified below. If required, check in the appropriate box.

Claims/Encounter Types

Data Elements	Institutional	Professional	Other
Patient Gender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Patient DOB/Age	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-9-CM Diagnosis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10-CM Diagnosis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-9-CM Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10-CM Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPT/HCPCS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DRG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
First Date of Service	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Last Date of Service	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Revenue Code	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Provider Specialty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3. How many diagnoses codes are captured on each claim? Note: ICD-9 should refer only to claims with a date of service prior to October 1, 2015.

	ICD-9-CM Diagnosis Codes	ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes
Institutional Data	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional Data	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

4. Can your BH-MCO distinguish between principal and secondary diagnoses? Yes No

5. If “Yes” to 4, above, how does the BH-MCO distinguish between principal and secondary diagnoses?
Click here to enter text.

6. For claims with dates of service in 2015, enter the volume of claims received by claim type.

	Claims Paid	Claims Denied
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Other	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

7. For claims with dates of service in 2015, identify the number of ICD-9 and ICD-10 secondary diagnosis codes received.

	# of Secondary ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes	# of Secondary ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

8. Please describe how your BH-MCO validates provider claims data?
Click here to enter text.

9. Please provide any documented process, frequency, and criteria for review (ex. Annual=standardized review, Adhoc =monitoring triggers), selection criteria (random, rotational, etc) for the validation of the provider on the claim.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

10. Please identify how provider validation findings are shared and issues addressed.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Claims Processing

11. Please provide a process document / flowchart that describes the claim adjudication process from the time a claim is received, to the time a claim is loaded into the reporting DBMS(s). Include the descriptions and purpose of each system.

12. Please explain what happens if a BHHC claim/encounter is submitted and one or more required fields are missing, incomplete or invalid. For example, if diagnosis is not coded, is the claims examiner required by the system to use an on-line software product like AutoCoder to determine the correct ICD-9 or ICD-10 diagnosis code?

Institutional Data:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Professional Data:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

13. What steps do your BH-MCO take to verify the accuracy of submitted information (e.g., procedure code- diagnosis edits, gender-diagnosis edits, gender-procedure code edits)?

Institutional Data:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Professional Data:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

14. Under what circumstances can claims processors change BHHC claims/encounter information?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

15. How are BHHC claims/encounters received?

Source	Received Directly from Provider	Submitted through an Intermediary
Institutional	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Professional	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Other	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

16. If the data are received through an intermediary, what changes, if any, are made to the data.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

17. Please identify the BHHC claims/encounters that are coded using the following coding schemes: Check off each coding scheme that applies. Note: ICD-9 should refer only to claims with a date of service prior to October 1, 2015.

Coding Scheme	Inpatient Diagnosis	Inpatient Procedure	Outpatient Diagnosis	Outpatient Procedure
ICD-9-CM Diagnosis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10-CM Diagnosis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-9-CM Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10-CM Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPT-4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HCPCS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DSM-IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
MS-DRG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
APR-DRG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Revenue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Internally Developed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not required	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

18. Identify all information systems through which service and utilization data for the BHHC population is processed.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

19. Please describe any major systems changes/updates that have taken place in the last three years in your BHHC claims or encounter system (*be sure to provide specific dates on which changes were implemented*).

- New system purchased and installed to replace old system.
- New system purchased and installed to replace most of old system; old system still used.
- Major enhancements to old system (what kinds of enhancements?).
- New product line adjudicated on old system.
- Conversion of a product line from one system to another.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

20. In your opinion, have any of these changes influenced, even temporarily, the quality and/or completeness of the BHHC data that are collected? If so, how and when?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

21. What is your BH-MCO's policy regarding BHHC claim/encounter audits? Are BHHC encounters audited regularly? Randomly? What are the standards regarding timeliness of processing?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

22. Please provide detail on claim system edits that are targeted to field content and consistency. Are diagnostic and procedure codes edited for validity?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

23. Describe the BHHC claims/encounter suspend ("pend") process including timeliness of reconciling pended services.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

24. Describe how BHHC claims are suspended/pended for medical review, for non-approval due to missing authorization code(s) or for other reasons. What triggers a processor to follow up on "pended" claims? How frequent are these triggers?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

25. If any BHHC services/providers are capitated, have you performed studies on the completeness of the information collected on capitated services? If yes, what were the results?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

26. Beginning with receipt of a BHHC claim in-house, describe the claim handling, logging, and processes that precede adjudication. When are BHHC claims assigned a document control number and logged or scanned into the system? When are BHHC claims microfilmed? If there is a delay in microfilming, how do processors access a claim that is logged into the system, but is not yet filmed?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

27. Discuss which decisions in processing a BHHC claim/encounter are automated, which are prompted by automated messages appearing on the screen, and which are manual. Document the opportunities a processor has for overriding the system manually. Is there a report documenting overrides or "exceptions" generated on each processor and reviewed by the claim supervisor? If so, please attach a recent copy of the report

[Click here to enter text.](#)

28. Are there any outside parties or contractors used to complete adjudication, including but not limited to:

- Bill auditors (hospital claims, claims over a certain dollar amount)

Choose an item.

- Peer or medical reviewers
Choose an item.
- Sources for additional charge data (usual & customary)
Choose an item.

How is this data incorporated into your BH-MCO's encounter data?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

29. Describe the system's editing capabilities that assure that BHHC claims are correctly adjudicated. Provide a list of the specific edits that are performed on claims as they are adjudicated, and note: 1) whether the edits are performed pre or post-payment, and 2) which are manual and which are automated functions.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

30. Discuss the routine and non-routine (ad hoc or special) audits that are performed on claims/encounters to assure the quality and accuracy and timeliness of processing. Note which audits are performed per processor, which rely on targeted samples and which use random sampling techniques. What is the total percentage of claims on-hand that are audited through these QA processes? How frequently?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

31. Describe all performance monitoring standards for BHHC claims/encounters processing and recent actual performance results.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

32. If applicable, describe your BH-MCO's process(es) used for claim adjudication when there is a physical health component to the service.

- A claim is received for a behavioral health professional service performed during a physical health inpatient stay.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
- A member is transferred to a physical health facility from a behavioral health facility.
[Click here to enter text.](#)
- An outpatient claim is received from a physical health provider (i.e. a PCP) with a behavioral health primary diagnosis.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

Claims Reporting System

33. What data base management system(s) (DBMS) do/does your organization use to store BHHC encounter data for reporting purposes?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

34. How would you characterize this/these DBMSs?

- | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| A. Relational | <input type="checkbox"/> | E. Network | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| B. Hierarchical | <input type="checkbox"/> | F. Flat File | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| C. Indexed | <input type="checkbox"/> | G. Proprietary | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| D. Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | H. Don't Know | <input type="checkbox"/> |

35. Describe the process that is used to populate your reporting DBMS(s)

[Click here to enter text.](#)

36. What software/programming language is used to load the enrollment files into your BH-MCO's reporting system?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

37. Describe the controls used to assure all BHHC encounter data entered into the reporting system is fully accounted for. (Include report examples, process flowcharts, etc. as necessary)

[Click here to enter text.](#)

38. What is the process for version control when the encounter data loading program code is revised?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

39. How many years of BHHC data are retained on-line? How is historical BHHC data accessed when needed?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

40. How complete are the BHHC data three months after the close of the reporting period? How is completeness estimated? How is completeness defined? Please attach copies of 2015 institutional and professional lag triangles with completeness percentages.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

41. Please describe your BH-MCOs policy and/or contract with providers reflects the completeness of data based on above question 40.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Section Contact: Who is responsible for completing this section:

Contact Name and Title:	Click here to enter text.
Mailing address:	Click here to enter text.
Phone number:	Click here to enter text.
Fax number:	Click here to enter text.
E-mail address:	Click here to enter text.

IV. REPORTING

1. Please attach a flowchart outlining the structure of your DBMS(s), indicating data integration (i.e., claims files, encounter files, etc.).

2. In consolidating data for BHHC performance measurement (PM), how are the data sets for each measure collected:
 - By querying the processing system online?
 - By using extract files created for analytical purposes? If so, how frequently are the files updated? How do they account for claim and encounter submission and processing lags? How is the file creation process checked for accuracy?
 - By using a separate relational database or data warehouse (i.e., a performance measure repository)? If so, is this the same system from which all other reporting is produced?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. Describe the procedure for consolidating BHHC claims/encounter, member, and provider data for PM reporting (whether it is into a relational database or file extracts on a measure-by-measure basis).

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. How many different sources of data are merged together to create the PM data files?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

5. What control processes are in place to ensure data merges are accurate and complete?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

6. What control processes are in place to ensure that no extraneous data are captured (e.g., lack of specificity in patient identifiers may lead to inclusion of non-eligible members or to double counting)?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

7. What programming language(s) do your programmers use to create BHHC data extracts or analytic reports? How many programmers are trained and capable of modifying these programs?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

8. Describe the process used to validate and test reporting code prior to deployment. Include any process flowcharts, test plans, etc.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

9. Do you rely on any quantitative measures of programmer performance? If so, what method(s) do you use to measure the effectiveness of the programmer?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

10. Approximately what percentage of your BH-MCO's programming work is outsourced?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

11. If any programming work is outsourced, describe the oversight/validation process of the programs produced by the vendor(s).
[Click here to enter text.](#)

12. Outline the steps of the maintenance cycle for the mandated BHHC performance measure reporting requirement(s). Include any tasks related to documentation, debugging, roll out, training, etc.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

13. Please describe your BHHC report production logs and run controls. Please describe your BHHC PM data file generation process.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

14. How are BHHC report generation programs documented? Is there a type of version control in place?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

15. How does your BH-MCO test the process used to create BHHC PM data files?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

16. Are BHHC PM reporting programs reviewed by supervisory staff?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

17. Does your BH-MCO have internal back-ups for PM programmers (i.e., do others know the programming language and the structure of the actual programs)? Is there documentation?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

18. How are revisions to BHHC claims, encounters, membership, and provider data systems managed in the DBMS(s)?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

19. What is the process for version control when PM code is revised?
[Click here to enter text.](#)

20. What provider data elements is your BH-MCO able to report on? (NPI, licensure, specialty, MPI, provider type, etc.)
[Click here to enter text.](#)

21. Is claim/encounter data linked for Medicare/BHHC dual eligibles so that all encounter data can be identified for the purposes of PM reporting?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

22. How is BHHC continuous enrollment being defined? In particular, does your BH-MCO system have any limitations that preclude you from fully implementing continuous enrollment requirements exactly as specified in the State performance measure requirements?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

23. How do you handle breaks in BHHC enrollment--e.g. situations where a BHHC enrollee is disenrolled

[Click here to enter text.](#)

24. Please identify which data elements are captured in your DBMS and are available for reporting:

Note: ICD-9 should refer only to claims with a date of service prior to October 1, 2015.

Data Element	Yes/No
Recipient ID	Choose an item.
Servicing Provider NPI	Choose an item.
Servicing Provider Specialty	Choose an item.
Servicing Provider Type	Choose an item.
Facility Type	Choose an item.
UB 92 Type of Bill	Choose an item.
APR DRG	Choose an item.
MS DRG	Choose an item.
Admitting Diagnosis	Choose an item.
Primary ICD-9-CM Diagnosis Code	Choose an item.
Primary ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Choose an item.
Secondary ICD-9-CM Diagnosis Code	Choose an item.
Secondary ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Choose an item.
ICD-9-CM Procedure Code	Choose an item.
ICD-10-CM Procedure Code	Choose an item.
CPT4 Code	Choose an item.
CPT II Codes	Choose an item.

Data Element	Yes/No
HCPCS	Choose an item.
LOINC codes	Choose an item.
Revenue Codes	Choose an item.
Billed Amount	Choose an item.
Date of Service	Choose an item.
Date of Admission	Choose an item.
Date of Discharge	Choose an item.
Patient Status Code	Choose an item.
MPI	Choose an item.

25. Does your BH-MCO download the PH/BH Service History files on a weekly basis as they are posted/made available (please advise by file type):

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Revenue Code [Click here to enter text.](#)

26. Please indicate by file type, whether your BH-MCO stores the PH/BH Service History files. Describe whether the data is loaded to your reporting system or data repository.

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Revenue Code [Click here to enter text.](#)

27. If applicable, please indicate if any logic applied to the PH/BH Service History file data. Please describe logic. (i.e. handling of FFS, or adjustments or voids, or scrubbing).

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Revenue Code [Click here to enter text.](#)

28. Please advise if the PH/BH Service History data is included or integrated in your reporting system. Specify by file type whether the PH data is incorporated in your BH-MCO's development of the BH Performance Measure data files. Describe the reports the PH/BH Service History file data is included.

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Revenue Code [Click here to enter text.](#)

29. Please advise by file type, the earliest and latest date of service you have stored (Revenue Code file not included since there is no date of service on the file):

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)

30. Please advise by file type the volume of PH/BH Service History file data received and available for reporting and analysis by your BH-MCO:

- Inpatient [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Medical [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Pharmacy [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Revenue Code [Click here to enter text.](#)

31. Please advise the capability of the current system to capture and report Treatment Episode Date.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

32. Please advise whether the functionality being used for capturing the Treatment Episode Date.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

33. If there is currently no functionality being used for capturing the Treatment Episode Date, is there a plan to utilize it in the future. Please describe.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Section Contact: Who is responsible for completing this section:

Contact Name and Title:	Click here to enter text.
Mailing address:	Click here to enter text.
Phone number:	Click here to enter text.
Fax number:	Click here to enter text.
E-mail address:	Click here to enter text.

V. PROMISE SUBMISSION

Encounter Data Submission

1. Using claims with dates of service in 2014 and 2015, how many unique encounters were submitted to the PROMISE system

	2015	2014
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Other	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Total	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

2. Of the 2014 and 2015 encounters submitted above, how many were (are)
1. Accepted by PROMISE on first submission.
 2. Denied by PROMISE on the first submission, but accepted on a resubmission.
 3. Denied by PROMISE on the first submission, and have not been accepted.

2015	Initially accepted	Denied, accepted on resubmission	Denied, not yet accepted	Total
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Other	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

2014	Initially accepted	Denied, accepted on resubmission	Denied, not yet accepted.	Total
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Other	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

3. If you indicated any volumes for the Other category in 1 or 2, please describe the type of encounters in this category:

Click here to enter text.

4. When an encounter is submitted to PROMISE, please describe the process of tracking the encounter and identifying it as a successful submission. Attach any work flows, process diagrams, etc.

Click here to enter text.

5. Explain in detail the process for reconciling the encounter data submitted to PROMISe.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

6. Does the encounter data extract process for PROMISe submission include a check against member eligibility at the time of service, regardless of claim payment status? If so, at what point in the extract process does this validation occur? How are encounters handled for members who were ineligible at the time of service?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

7. OMHSAS has instructed the BH-MCOs that certain encounters should not be submitted to PROMISe. Please list categories of encounters that are currently excluded by your PROMISe submission process.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

8. What is the reconciliation process for ensuring that all eligible BH-MCO processed claims are extracted and submitted to PROMISe? Are there any encounters, other than those in the categories listed in above question 7 that are not included in the PROMISe extract? If yes, please explain.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

9. Has your reconciliation process identified any types of encounters that pose challenges during the extraction process? If yes, please explain.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

10. Does your BH-MCO do any mapping or reformatting of any specific data elements prior to submitting the encounter data to PROMISe? If yes, please explain.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

11. Identify what PROMISe submission and reconciliation processes are fully automated and what processes are manual.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

12. Identify the number of secondary diagnosis codes submitted to PROMISe for Professional encounters:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

13. Identify the number of secondary diagnosis codes submitted to PROMISe for Institutional encounters:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

14. Explain the reason a principal or secondary diagnosis code may not be submitted to PROMISe.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Denial and Resubmission Processes

15. In 2015, what was the average number of business days between the adjudication of a claim, and the initial submission to PROMISE
Click here to enter text.

16. When an encounter is denied by PROMISE, describe the process used to determine the reason for denial, and attempt a resubmission. Attach any work flows, process diagrams, etc.
Click here to enter text.

17. Describe the structure of the staff responsible for resubmission of encounters denied by PROMISE. Is there a dedicated department, or is the work assigned to different departments based on the denial reason.
Click here to enter text.

18. In 2015, of the encounters that were initially denied by PROMISE, what was the average number of business days between the initial denial and the date the encounters was accepted by PROMISE?
Click here to enter text. Days

19. How does your BH-MCO track encounters that are denied by PROMISE? Are there standard reports that identify outstanding encounters? If so, Please attach an example of a report.
Click here to enter text.

20. Are there instances where encounters would be denied by PROMISE, and never be resubmitted? If so, please describe when this would occur.
Click here to enter text.

21. Are enrollment or encounter data systems ever modified as a result of a PROMISE denial? If so, please describe what processes are used to ensure that the modifications to the systems are correct.
Click here to enter text.

22. Can the BH-MCO identify how many encounters are currently denied by PROMISE and are awaiting resubmission? If yes, please provide volume and the as of date.

Encounter Type	Number of Denied Encounters	As of Date
Institutional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Professional	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

23. What has the BH-MCO done or is planning to do to reduce the number of denied PROMISE encounters?
Click here to enter text.

Section Contact: Who is responsible for completing this section:

Contact Name and Title:	Click here to enter text.
Mailing address:	Click here to enter text.
Phone number:	Click here to enter text.
Fax number:	Click here to enter text.
E-mail address:	Click here to enter text.

REQUESTED MATERIAL

Section	Question Number	Attachment
Enrollment Systems	2	Applicable process diagrams, flowcharts, etc that describe the process that the BH-MCO uses to populate your enrollment system from the files received.
Enrollment Systems	7	Enrollment loading error process reports
Enrollment Systems	8	Enrollment loading completeness reports that ensure the system is fully accounted for.
Enrollment Systems	25	Enrollment reporting system load process
Enrollment Systems	27	Enrollment reporting system completeness reports
Claims Systems	9	Claim provider validation process documentation
Claims Systems	11	Process document/flowchart that describes the claim adjudication process from the time a claim is received, to the time a claim is loaded into the reporting DBMS(s).
Claims Systems	29	Regarding the system's editing capabilities that assure the BHHC claims are correctly adjudicated. Include a list of the specific edits that are performed on claims as they are adjudicated.
Claims Systems	37	Include report examples, and process flowcharts that describe the controls used to assure all BHHC encounter data entered into the reporting system is fully accounted for.
Claims Systems	40	2015 Physician and institutional lag and completeness triangles.
Reporting	1	Flowchart outlining the structure of the DBSM(s), indicating data integration (i.e. claim files, encounter files, etc.)
PROMISe Submissions	4	Workflow, process diagrams describing the PROMISe encounter data submission process
PROMISe Submissions	16	Workflow and process diagrams describing the process used to determine the reason for PROMISe denial, and attempt for a resubmission to PROMISe.
PROMISe Submissions	19	Report of how the BH-MCO tracks encounters that are denied by PROMISe including the outstanding claims report yet to be submitted to PROMISe